LPDES PERMIT NO. LA0003280 (Agency Interest No. 2062)

LPDES FACT SHEET and RATIONALE

FOR THE DRAFT LOUISIANA POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (LPDES) PERMIT TO DISCHARGE TO WATERS OF LOUISIANA

I. Company/Facility Name: Air Products & Chemicals, Inc.

New Orleans Facility 14700 Intracoastal Drive

New Orleans, Louisiana 70129

II. Issuing Office: Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ)

Office of Environmental Services

Post Office Box 4313

Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70821-4313

III. Prepared By: Melanie Beard Connor

Industrial Water Permits Section Water and Waste Permits Division

Phone #: (225) 219-3088 Fax #: (225) 219-3309

E-mail: melanie.connor@la.gov

Date Prepared: December 7, 2005

IV. Permit Action/Status:

A. Reason For Permit Action:

Reissuance of a Louisiana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (LPDES) permit for a 5-year term following regulations promulgated at LAC 33:IX.2711/40 CFR 122.46*.

* In order to ease the transition from NPDES to LPDES permits, dual regulatory references are provided where applicable. The LAC references are the legal references while the 40 CFR references are presented for informational purposes only. In most cases, LAC language is based on and is identical to the 40 CFR language. 40 CFR Parts 401-402, and 404-471 have been adopted by reference at LAC 33:IX.4903 and will not have dual references. In addition, state standards (LAC Chapter 11) will not have dual references.

LAC 33:IX Citations: Unless otherwise stated, citations to LAC 33:IX refer to promulgated regulations listed at Louisiana Administrative Code, Title 33, Part IX.

40 CFR Citations: Unless otherwise stated, citations to 40 CFR refer to promulgated regulations listed at Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations in accordance with the dates specified at LAC 33:IX.4901, 4903, and 2301.F.

B. NPDES permit: Effective Date – September 16, 1986 Expiration Date – September 15, 1991

EPA has not retained enforcement authority.

- Current/updated LPDES application received on December 23, 2004.
 Updated/additional information submitted via an email dated January 24, 2006.
- D. Permit History: The Air Products & Chemicals / New Orleans facility is currently operating under its administratively extended 1986 NPDES permit. EPA issued the facility a renewal permit on September 28, 1991. However, the permittee was appealed and a hearing request was never acted upon by EPA. Following the appeal, the following Administrative Orders were issued by EPA: VI-90-108 April 5, 1990, VI-92-0336 September 22, 1992, and CWA-6-0055-00 April 13, 2000. All other conditions of the September 1986 permit were continued and are still in effect.

V. Facility Information:

- A. Location 14700 Intracoastal Drive, New Orleans, Orleans Parish (Latitude 30°01'44", Longitude 89°54'01").
- B. Applicant Activity According to the application, Air Products and Chemicals is an industrial gas manufacturer.

The New Orleans facility has four Hydrogen plants (H₂ Plants A, B, C and D), two Carbon Dioxide plants (CO₂ Plants A and B), and an air separation plant. The facility produces H₂ and CO₂ via reformation of steam and pipeline supplied natural gas, and it produces Oxygen (O₂), Nitrogen (N₂) and Crude Argon by cryogenic separation of ambient air. The facility also transfers Anhydrous HCl from railcars to individual tube trailers and "Y" cylinders.

The facility was previously permitted for the manufacturing of Ammonia. However, the former ammonia plant ceased operation and was converted into the "C" H₂ plant, which went online in 1995. In November of 2003, the facility added a fourth H₂ plant (H₂ Plant D).

As per the December 23, 2004 LPDES permit application, below is the average production for the calendar year 2003 and the projected production for calendar year 2004:

Production (1000 lbs/day)
400
294.7
345.4
7.6
15.4

Of all the manufactured products at the New Orleans facility, only the Oxygen and Nitrogen production is guideline regulated (40 CFR 415 Subpart AW). The effluent guidelines have subparts for Carbon Dioxide production (Subpart AF) and Hydrogen production (Subpart AO). However, the Carbon Dioxide subpart is reserved. The Hydrogen production category (Subpart AO) applies to the production of hydrogen as a refinery by-product. The hydrogen that is produced at the New Orleans facility is not a refinery by-product.

The permittee plans to route sanitary wastewater from the facility to the Sewerage and Water Board of New Orleans sanitary sewer collection system. Outfall 101 has been established such that when connection to the sewerage and water board is complete, the permittee will no longer be required to submit DMR data for that outfall.

The permittee also plans to reroute Outfall 001 directly into Michoud Canal. The outfall currently discharges to a roadside ditch that runs along Intracoastal Drive thence to Maxent Canal thence to the Intracoastal Waterway.

The facility has a Multisector Stormwater General Permit (LAR05N094) to cover most of the stormwater from the New Orleans site.

C. Technology Basis - (40 CFR Chapter 1, Subchapter N/Parts 401-402, and 404-471 have been adopted by reference at LAC 33:IX.4903)

<u>Guideline</u>	Reference
Inorganic Chemicals Manufacturing	40 CFR 415 Subpart AW
Inorganic Chemicals Manufacturing	40 CFR 415 Subpart AF (reserved)

Other sources of technology based limits:

- Best Professional Judgement
- LPDES Class I Sanitary General Permit (LAG530000)

- LDEQ Stormwater Guidance, letter dated 6/17/87, from J. Dale Givens (LDEQ) to Myron Knudson (EPA Region 6)
- D. Fee Rate -
 - 1. Fee Rating Facility Type: Major
 - 2. Complexity Type: IV
 - 3. Wastewater Type: III
 - 4. SIC code: 2813
- E. Continuous Facility Effluent Flow 1.17 MGD
- VI. Receiving Waters: Existing Roadside ditch thence to Maxent Canal thence to

Intracoastal Waterway
Proposed – Michoud Canal

Existing – Roadside ditch thence to Maxent Canal thence to the Intracoastal Waterway

- A. TSS (15%), mg/L: 4.43
- B. Average Hardness, mg/L CaCO₃: 1,655
- C. Critical Flow, cfs: 0.1
- D. Mixing Zone Fraction: 1
- E. Harmonic Mean Flow, cfs: 1
- F. River Basin: Pontchartrain, Segment No.: 041601
- G. Designated Uses:

primary contact recreation, secondary contact recreation, fish and wildlife propagation, oyster propagation

Information based on recommendations from the Engineering Section. Hardness and 15% TSS data come from ambient sampling site # 1064, 1.4 miles NE of Meraux, 7.6 miles Southeast of New Orleans Lakefront Airport, and 1.27 nautical miles from Bayou Bienvenue Flood Control Structure. It is located on the Intracoastal Waterway at the New Orleans Public Service gas pipeline crossing.

Proposed – Michoud Canal

- A. TSS (15%), mg/L: 4.43
- B. Average Hardness, mg/L CaCO₃: 1,655
- C. Critical Flow, cfs: 29.4
- D. Mixing Zone Fraction: 1
- E. Harmonic Mean Flow, cfs: 88.2
- F. River Basin: Pontchartrain, Segment No.: 041601

G. Designated Uses:

primary contact recreation, secondary contact recreation, fish and wildlife propagation, oyster propagation

Information based on recommendations from the Engineering Section. Hardness and 15% TSS data come from ambient sampling site # 1064, 1.4 miles NE of Meraux, 7.6 miles Southeast of New Orleans Lakefront Airport, and 1.27 nautical miles from Bayou Bienvenue Flood Control Structure. It is located on the Intracoastal Waterway at the New Orleans Public Service gas pipeline crossing.

VII. Outfall Information:

Outfall 001

- A. Type of wastewater The continuous discharge of previously monitored sanitary wastewater from Internal Outfall 101(*1), reverse osmosis reject water, excess reverse osmosis permeate, cooling tower blowdown, boiler blowdown, demineralizer regeneration, cooling tower side-stream filter backwash, condensate (from the CO₂ plants and air separation plant), scrubber water, stormwater runoff, equipment wash waters, air conditioning condensate, steam condensate, service lines leaks, fire protection wastewater and hydrostatic testing waters.
 - (*1) The permittee proposes to have all of its sanitary wastewater routed to the local POTW. Once this is accomplished, sanitary wastewater will no longer be routed to Outfall 101 thence to Final Outfall 001.
- B. Location Existing: At the point of discharge from the surface impoundment prior to combining with waters of the roadside ditch (Latitude 30°01'40", Longitude 89°45'03").
 Proposed: At the point of discharge from the wastewater sump prior to combining with the waters of Michoud Canal (Latitude 30°01'42", Longitude 89°54'23").
- C. Treatment Settling, pH adjustment, oil booms
- D. Flow Continuous, 1.17 MGD
- E. Receiving waters <u>Existing</u>: Roadside ditch thence to Maxent Canal thence to the Intracoastal Waterway
 Proposed: Michoud Canal

- F. Basin and segment Pontchartrain Basin, Segment 041601
- G. Effluent data See attached pages from the December 23, 2004 LPDES permit application (Appendix A).

Outfall 101

- A. Type of wastewater Sanitary wastewater (*1)
 - (*1) The permittee proposes to have all of its sanitary wastewater routed to the local POTW. Once this is accomplished, sanitary wastewater will no longer be routed to Outfall 101.
- B. Location At the point of discharge from the package treatment plant prior to combining with other waters in the surface impoundment. (Latitude 30°01'40", Longitude 89°45'03").
- C. Treatment Extended aeration and chlorination
- D. Flow Intermittent, 0.005 MGD
- E. Receiving waters Existing: To Final Outfall 001 thence to a roadside ditch thence to Maxent Canal thence to the Intracoastal Waterway Proposed: Michoud Canal
- F. Basin and segment Pontchartrain Basin, Segment 041601
- G. Effluent data No effluent data was submitted for this outfall. Sanitary wastewater was not covered by the previous NPDES/LPDES permit.

Outfall 002

A. Type of wastewater – The intermittent discharge of overflow from the wastewater sump (*1) including primarily stormwater, but potentially including the following wastewaters: Previously monitored sanitary wastewater, reverse osmosis reject water, excess reverse osmosis permeate, cooling tower blowdown, boiler blowdown, demineralizer regeneration, cooling tower side-stream filter backwash, condensate, scrubber water, equipment wash waters, air conditioning condensate, steam condensate, service lines leaks, fire protection wastewater and hydrostatic testing waters.

- (*1) The wet-weather pumping system for the new sump/pump station for the proposed relocation of Outfall 001 will be able to handle the first flush generated by a 1-inch storm having a 30-minute duration. However, storm events with greater intensity/duration may result in the generation of flows that would be in excess of the wet-weather pumping system capacity. As a result, an overflow outfall has been incorporated in the permit to account for those instances where excess stormwater may be discharged to the roadside ditch along Intracoastal Drive once the first flush has been pumped to the Michoud Canal. Please note that the discharge route of the overflow outfall will be the same as the existing discharge location of Outfall 001.
- B. Location At the point of overflow discharge from the wastewater sump prior to combining other waters (Latitude 30°01'40", Longitude 89°45'03").
- C. Treatment None
- D. Flow Flow is intermittent
- E. Receiving waters To a roadside ditch thence to Maxent Canal thence to the Intracoastal Waterway
- F. Basin and segment Pontchartrain Basin, Segment 041601
- G. Effluent data This outfall is proposed so no effluent data is available.

VIII. Proposed Permit Limits and Rationale:

The specific effluent limitations and/or conditions will be found in the draft permit. Development and calculation of permit limits are detailed in the Permit Limit Rationale section below.

The following section sets forth the principal facts and the significant factual, legal, methodological, and policy questions considered in preparing the draft permit. Also set forth are any calculations or other explanations of the derivation of specific effluent limitations and conditions, including a citation to the applicable effluent limitation guideline or performance standard provisions as required under LAC 33:IX.2707/40 CFR Part 122.44 and reasons why they are applicable or an explanation of how the alternate effluent limitations were developed.

A. CHANGES FROM THE PREVIOUS PERMIT

- 1. Outfall 001 Several changes have been made to Outfall 001:
 - a. The process waters, utility waters and miscellaneous waters have been identified individually in the permit.
 - b. Changes have been made to the biomonitoring requirements in accordance with current policy, and two sets of dilution series have been established in the permit based upon the existing discharge location and the proposed discharge location.
 - c. Ammonia limitations have been reduced because the facility ceased ammonia manufacturing operation in 1994. Allocations have been established for ammonia bearing wastewaters.
 - d. Effluent limitations for COD, TSS and Oil and Grease have changed based upon flow and production information provided in the Dec. 23, 2004 LPDES permit application.
 - e. A compliance schedule has been added to the permit for the relocation of the Outfall 001 discharge point/receiving waterbody.
 - f. Zinc monitoring and limitations have been removed from the permit. In the previous permit, Zinc limitations were based upon water quality. In the December 23, 2004 permit application, the permittee calculated long term averages for Zinc based upon the last two years of reporting. The highest value among 312 data points reported during that period was below the permit effluent limitation. Further, the only permit exceedance that has occurred during the last 5 years was 8.3 lbs/day, which was reported on Oct. 31, 2000. According to new water quality screens (based upon current water quality implementation strategies), total zinc demonstrated no reasonable potential for violation of water quality standards. The value of the previously reported permit excursion from October 31, 2000, was well below the new calculated water quality limitations, which are 14.99(Avg): 35.59 (Max) lbs/day. For these reasons, Zinc limitations have been removed from the permit.
 - g. The monitoring frequencies for Oil & Grease, TSS, COD and Ammonia have been reduced to 1/week.
- 2. Outfall 101 In the renewal permit an internal outfall for sanitary wastewater has been added in accordance with current office practices.
- 3. Outfall 002 This proposed outfall has been added to the permit. The permittee requested that this outfall be added to the permit for periods of overflow of the wastewater sump at Outfall 001 during periods of heavy rainfall. The new system for the relocated Outfall 001 will be designed with two pumping systems: one to handle routine, dry-weather flows, and a second to handle wet-weather flows. Outfall 002 has been added so that excess stormwater may overflow into the roadside ditch. This

outfall will not discharge until after the first flush has been pumped to the Michoud Canal. This outfall is not anticipated to discharge very frequently.

B. <u>TECHNOLOGY-BASED VERSUS WATER QUALITY STANDARDS-BASED</u> EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND CONDITIONS

Following regulations promulgated at LAC 33:IX.2707.L.2.b/40 CFR Part 122.44(1)(2)(ii), the draft permit limits are based on either technology-based effluent limits pursuant to LAC 33:IX.2707.A/40 CFR Part 122.44(a) or on State water quality standards and requirements pursuant to LAC 33:IX.2707.D/40 CFR Part 122.44(d), whichever are more stringent.

TECHNOLOGY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND CONDITIONS

Regulations promulgated at LAC 33:IX.2707.A/40 CFR Part 122.44(a) require technology-based effluent limitations to be placed in LPDES permits based on effluent limitations guidelines where applicable, on BPJ (best professional judgement) in the absence of guidelines, or on a combination of the two. The following is a rationale for types of wastewaters. See outfall information descriptions for associated outfall(s) in Section VII.

The Air Products & Chemicals, Inc./New Orleans Facility is subject to Best Practicable Control Technology Performance Standards (BPT) effluent limitation guidelines listed below:

Manufacturing Operation

Guideline

Inorganic Chemicals Manufacturing

40 CFR 415 Subpart AW

Of all the manufactured products at the New Orleans facility, only the Oxygen and Nitrogen production is guideline regulated (40 CFR 415 Subpart AW). The effluent guidelines have subparts for Carbon Dioxide production (Subpart AF) and Hydrogen production (Subpart AO). However, the Carbon Dioxide subpart is reserved. The Hydrogen production category (Subpart AO) applies to the production of hydrogen as a refinery by-product. The hydrogen that is produced at the New Orleans facility is not a refinery by-product.

The BPJ technology based mass limitations established in the permit for COD, TSS, Oil & Grease, and Ammonia are calculated based upon concentrations utilized in the prior NPDES permits. These concentrations are as follows:

COD: Daily Max – 200 mg/l

Monthly Avg – 100 mg/l

TSS: Daily Max - 70 mg/l

Monthly Avg - 30 mg/l

Oil & Grease: Daily Max – 15 mg/l

Monthly Avg – 10 mg/l

Ammonia: Daily Max -75 mg/l

Monthly Avg - 35 mg/l

In the prior NPDES permit, ammonia limitations were based upon production of ammonia. However, the former ammonia plant ceased operation and was converted into the "C" H₂ plant, which went online in 1995. The plant still, however, uses ammonia in the process. Therefore, the facility still discharges lower levels of ammonia. The wastewaters that have the potential to contain ammonia include CO₂ plant condensate, boiler blowdown, cooling tower side-stream filter backwash and demineralizer regeneration.

The effluent limitations established in the permit for oil & grease are based upon a combination of production-based limitations (as per 40 CFR 415 Subpart AW) and BPJ allocations.

40 CFR 415 Subpart AW production factors –

Oil & Grease: Daily Max factor = 0.002 lbs/1000 lbs Monthly Avg factor = 0.001 lbs/1000 lbs

Oxygen and Nitrogen production (as per the Dec. 23, 2004 application) = 294.7 1000 lbs/day

Oil & Grease Production Allocation -

Daily Max: 294.7 1000 lbs/day X 0.002 lbs/1000 lbs = 0.59 lbs/day Monthly Avg: 294.7 1000 lbs/day X 0.001 lbs/1000 lbs = 0.29 lbs/day

Non-Production Based Allocations -

Oil & Grease: Calculated based upon flows from the following wastewaters - CO₂ Plant condensate, and stormwater runoff

Daily Max: 15 mg/l X 8.34 X 0.05 MGD = 6.25 lbs/dayMonthly Avg: 10 mg/l X 8.34 X 0.05 MGD = 4.17 lbs/day

Total Loading (Production allocation + wastewater allocations):

Daily Max -6.25 lbs/day + 0.59 lbs/day = 6.84 lbs/day Monthly Avg - 4.17 lbs/day + 0.29 lbs/day = 4.46 lbs/day

<u>COD</u>: Calculated based upon flows from the following wastewaters – CO₂ plant condensate, ASU plant condensate, boiler blowdown, cooling tower blowdown, demineralizer regeneration, reverse osmosis reject water, and stormwater runoff

Daily Max: $200 \text{ mg/l } \times 8.34 \times 0.535 \text{ MGD} = 892 \text{ lbs/day}$ Monthly Avg: $100 \text{ mg/l } \times 8.34 \times 0.535 \text{ MGD} = 446 \text{ lbs/day}$

TSS: Calculated based upon flows from the following wastewaters – CO₂ plant condensate, ASU plant condensate, boiler blowdown, cooling tower blowdown, demineralizer regeneration, reverse osmosis reject water, and stormwater runoff

Daily Max: 70 mg/l X 8.34 X 0.535 MGD = 312 lbs/dayMonthly Avg: 30 mg/l X 8.34 X 0.535 MGD = 134 lbs/day

<u>Ammonia</u>: Calculated based upon flows from the following wastewaters – CO₂ plant condensate, boiler blowdown, cooling tower side-stream filter backwash and demineralizer regeneration

Daily Max: 75 mg/l X 8.34 X 0.0456 MGD = 28.5 lbs/dayMonthly Avg: 35 mg/l X 8.34 X 0.0456 MGD = 13.3 lbs/day

Proposed effluent limitations and basis of permit limitations are found below:

Outfall 001 – The continuous discharge of previously monitored sanitary wastewater from Internal Outfall 101, reverse osmosis reject water, excess reverse osmosis permeate, cooling tower blowdown, boiler blowdown, demineralizer regeneration, cooling tower side-stream filter backwash, condensate from the CO₂ and ASU plants, scrubber water, stormwater runoff, equipment wash waters, air conditioning condensate, steam condensate, service lines leaks, fire protection wastewater and hydrostatic testing waters.

	Effluent l	Limitations	- Monitoring	
Parameter	Monthly Avg	Daily Max	Freq.	Reference
Flow	Report	Report	Continuous	LAC 33:IX.2707.I.1.b, previous permit
рН	Continuous (1)	Continuous (1)	Continuous	40 CFR 415.492, Previous permit
Temperature	Report	Report	Continuous	Previous permit

COD	446 lbs/day	892 lbs/day	1/week	ВРЈ
TSS	134 lbs/day	312 lbs/day	1/week	ВРЈ
Ammonia (as N)	13.3 lbs/day	28.5 lbs/day	1/week	ВРЈ
Oil & Grease	4.46 lbs/day	6.84 lbs/day	1/week	40 CFR 415.492 and BPJ
Biomonitoring	See Section D (Biomonitoring Requirements) below	See Section D (Biomonitoring Requirements) below	1/3 months	See Section D (Biomonitoring Requirements) below

- Where a permittee continuously measures the pH of wastewater as a requirement, the permittee shall maintain the pH of such wastewater within the range set forth in the permit, except that excursions from the range are permitted, provided:
 - a) The total time during which the pH values are outside the required range of pH -values shall not exceed 446 minutes in any calendar month; and
 - b) No individual excursion from the range of pH values shall exceed 60 minutes.

Outfall 101 - Treated sanitary wastewater

	Effluent l	Limitations	Monitoring	
Parameter	Monthly Avg	Weekly Avg	Freq.	Reference
Flow	Report	Report	1/6 months	LAC 33:IX.2707.I.1.b
BOD		45 mg/l	1/6 months	LPDES Sanitary General Permits
TSS		45 mg/l	1/6 months	LPDES Sanitary General Permits
Fecal Coliform		43 col/100 ml	1/6 months	LPDES Sanitary General Permits ⁽¹⁾

In accordance with LAC 33:IX.1113(C)(5)(d), and LDEQ's sanitary general permits, a fecal coliform limitation of 43 col/100 ml has been established in the permit because the effluent is discharged to a subsegment designated for oyster propagation.

Outfall 002 - The intermittent discharge of overflow from the wastewater sump including primarily stormwater, but potentially including the following wastewaters: previously monitored sanitary wastewater from Internal Outfall 101, reverse osmosis reject water, excess reverse osmosis permeate, cooling tower blowdown, boiler blowdown, demineralizer regeneration, cooling tower side-stream filter backwash, condensate from the CO₂ and ASU plants, scrubber water, equipment wash waters, air conditioning condensate, steam condensate, service lines leaks fire protection wastewater and hydrostatic testing waters

	Effluent I	Limitations	Monitoring	
Parameter	Monthly Avg	Daily Max	Freq.	Reference
Flow	Report	Report	1/week	LAC 33:IX.2707.I.1.b
TOC		50	1/week	LDEQ Stormwater Guidance (1)
Oil & Grease		15	1/week	LDEQ Stormwater Guidance (1)
pН	6.0 s.u. (Min)	9.0 s.u. (Max)	1/week	LDEQ Stormwater Guidance (1)

⁽¹⁾ LDEQ Stormwater Guidance, letter dated 6/17/87, from J. Dale Givens (LDEQ) to Myron Knudson (EPA Region 6)

B. MONITORING FREQUENCIES

All monitoring frequencies are based upon best professional judgement and are consistent with frequencies previously applied to other major facilities. Whole Effluent Toxicity testing frequency is based upon recommendations from the Municipal and General Water Permits Section (see Appendix B).

C. WATER QUALITY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

Technology-based effluent limitations and/or specific analytical results from the permittee's application were screened against state water quality numerical standard based limits by following guidance procedures established in the <u>Permitting Guidance Document for</u>

<u>Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards</u>, LDEQ, September 27, 2001. Calculations, results, and documentation are given in Appendix C.

In accordance with 40 CFR § 122.44 (d)(1)/LAC 33:IX.2707.D.1, the existing (or potential) discharge (s) was evaluated in accordance with the <u>Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards</u>, LDEQ, September 27, 2001, to determine whether pollutants would be discharged "at a level which will cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above any state water quality standard." Calculations, results, and documentation are given in Appendix C.

The following pollutants received water quality based effluent limits:

None

Minimum quantification levels (MQL's) for state water quality numerical standards-based effluent limitations are set at the values listed in the <u>Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards</u>, LDEQ, September 27, 2001. They are also listed in Part II of the permit.

To further ensure compliance with 40 CFR 122.44(d)(I), whole effluent toxicity testing has been established for Outfall 001 (See Section VIII.D below).

D. BIOMONITORING REQUIREMENTS

It has been determined that there may be pollutants present in the effluent which may have the potential to cause toxic conditions in the receiving stream. The State of Louisiana has established a narrative criteria which states, "toxic substances shall not be present in quantities that alone or in combination will be toxic to plant or animal life." The Office of Environmental Services requires the use of the most recent EPA biomonitoring protocols.

Whole effluent biomonitoring is the most direct measure of potential toxicity which incorporates both the effects of synergism of effluent components and receiving stream water quality characteristics. Biomonitoring of the effluent is, therefore, required as a condition of this permit to assess potential toxicity. The biomonitoring procedures stipulated as a condition of this permit for Outfall 001 are as follows:

TOXICITY TESTS

FREQUENCY(1)

NOEC, Pass/Fail [0/1], Lethality, Static Renewal, 7-Day Chronic, Menidia beryllina 1/3 months

NOEC, Value [%],

1/3 months

Lethality, Static Renewal,

7-Day Chronic,

Menidia beryllina

NOEC, Value [%],

1/3 months

Growth, Static Renewal,

7-Day Chronic,

Menidia beryllina

NOEC, Pass/Fail [0/1],

Growth, Static Renewal,

7-Day Chronic,

Menidia beryllina

1/3 months

NOEC, Value [%],

Coefficient of Variation, Static Renewal,

7-Day Chronic,

Menidia beryllina

1/3 months

NOEC, Pass/Fail [0/1],

Lethality, Static Renewal,

7-Day Chronic,

Mysidopis bahia

1/3 months

NOEC, Value [%],

Lethality, Static Renewal,

7-Day Chronic

Mysidopis bahia

1/3 months

NOEC, Value [%],

Growth, Static Renewal,

7-Day Chronic,

Mysidopsis bahia

1/3 months

NOEC, Pass/Fail [0/1],

Growth, Static Renewal,

7-Day Chronic,

Mysidopis bahia

1/3 months

NOEC, Value [%],

Coefficient of Variation, Static Renewal,

7-Day Chronic,

Mysidopsis bahia

1/3 months

If no lethal or sub-lethal effects are demonstrated after the first year of quarterly testing, the permittee may certify fulfillment of the WET testing requirements in writing to the permitting authority. If granted, the monitoring frequency for the test species may be reduced to not less than 1/year for the Menidia beryllina and not less than twice per year for the Mysidopsis bahia. Upon expiration of the permit, the monitoring frequency for both species shall revert to once per quarter until the permit is re-issued.

Toxicity tests shall be performed in accordance with protocols described in the latest revision of the "Short-Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Marine and Estuarine Organisms." The stipulated test species are appropriate to measure the toxicity of the effluent consistent with the requirements of the State water quality standards. The biomonitoring frequency has been established to reflect the likelihood of ambient toxicity and to provide data representative of the toxic potential of the facility's discharge in accordance with regulations promulgated at LAC 33:IX.2715/40 CFR Part 122.48.

Results of all dilutions as well as the associated chemical monitoring of pH, temperature, hardness, dissolved oxygen, conductivity, and alkalinity shall be documented in a full report according to the test method publication mentioned in the previous paragraph. The permittee shall submit a copy of the first full report to this Office. The full report and subsequent reports are to be retained for three (3) years following the provisions of Part III.C.3 of this permit. The permit requires the submission of certain toxicity testing information as an attachment to the Discharge Monitoring Report.

This permit may be reopened to require effluent limits, additional testing, and/or other appropriate actions to address toxicity if biomonitoring data show actual or potential ambient toxicity to be the result of the permittee's discharge to the receiving stream or water body. Modification or revocation of the permit is subject to the provisions of LAC 33:IX.3105/40 CFR 124.5. Accelerated or intensified toxicity testing may be required in accordance with Section 308 of the Clean Water Act.

Dilution Series

The permit requires five (5) dilutions in addition to the control (0% effluent) to be used in the toxicity tests. These additional effluent concentrations shall be:

Existing discharge location: 30%, 40%, 53%, 71%, and 95%. The low-flow effluent concentration (critical dilution) is defined as 95% effluent.

<u>Proposed discharge location</u>: 2%, 3%, 4%, 6%, and 8%. The low-flow effluent concentration (critical dilution) is defined as 6% effluent.

IX. Compliance History/DMR Review:

The Air Products & Chemicals/New Orleans Facility was issued an Administrative Order (Docket No. VI-90-108) from the U.S. EPA on April 5, 1990. This Order noted numerous effluent limitation excursions. The Order further established interim limitations for COD, TSS, Oil & Grease, and Ammonia, which were higher than the existing permit limitations, based upon increased production. EPA issued another Administrative Order to the facility (Docket No. VI-92-0336) on September 22, 1992. This Order re-established the previous interim limitations for COD and TSS. Another Administrative Order (Docket No. CWA-6-0055-00) was issued on April 13, 2000. This Order established interim limitations for COD and TSS (limited in accordance with the previous Orders); and oil & grease, ammonia and total zinc (limited in accordance with the 1986 NPDES permit). In September of 2000, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency relinquished enforcement authority over the facility to LDEQ.

On August 25, 2005, LDEQ issued a Compliance Order (WE-C-05-0313) which cited a violation observed during an inspection dated September 25, 2003. It was revealed that the facility failed to maintain the required temperature of its TSS drying ovens. The compliance order further listed several permit excursions. It is noted however, that most of the permit excursions listed in the 2005 compliance order were not in exceedance of the interim limitations established in the April 13, 2000 Administrative Order. For the purposes of this permit, only the reported data which exceeded the interim limitations established in the April 13, 2000 Order have been summarized below.

A Discharge Monitoring Report review was done for the period of January 2000 until September 2005. The following reported permit excursions were noted:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Outfall</u>	Reported Value	Permit Limits
10/31/2000	Total Zinc	001	8.3 lbs/day (max)	7.2 lbs/day (max)
2/28/2005	pН	001	10.3 s.u (max).	9.0 s.u. (max)
2/28/2005	TSS	001	546 lbs/day (max)	350 lbs/day (max)

It is noted that the Air Products & Chemicals, Inc,/New Orleans Facility was greatly affected by Hurricane Katrina (August 2005). The facility experienced severe flooding and lost many of its records. No DMR data was reported during the months which the facility was shutdown.

X. Endangered Species:

The receiving waterbody, Subsegment 041601 of the Pontchartrain River Basin are not listed in Section II.2 of the Implementation Strategy as requiring consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS). This strategy was submitted with a letter dated October 21, 2005 from Watson (FWS) to Gautreaux (LDEQ). Therefore, in accordance with the Memorandum of Understanding between the LDEQ and the FWS, no further informal (Section 7, Endangered Species Act) consultation is required. It was determined that the issuance of the LPDES permit

is not likely to have an adverse effect on any endangered or candidate species or the critical habitat. The effluent limitations established in the permit ensure protection of aquatic life and maintenance of the receiving water as aquatic habitat.

XI. Historic Sites:

The discharge is from an existing facility location, which does not include an expansion on undisturbed soils. Therefore, there should be no potential effect to sites or properties on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and in accordance with the "Memorandum of Understanding for the Protection of Historic Properties in Louisiana Regarding LPDES Permits" no consultation with the Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer is required.

XII. Tentative Determination:

On the basis of preliminary staff review, the Department of Environmental Quality has made a tentative determination to issue a permit for the discharge described in the application.

XIII. Variances:

No requests for variances have been received by this Office.

XIV. Public Notices:

Upon publication of the public notice, a public comment period shall begin on the date of publication and last for at least 30 days thereafter. During this period, any interested persons may submit written comments on the draft permit and may request a public hearing to clarify issues involved in the permit decision at this Office's address on the first page of the fact sheet. A request for a public hearing shall be in writing and shall state the nature of the issues proposed to be raised in the hearing.

A public notice will be published in a local newspaper of general circulation and in the Office of Environmental Services Public Notice Mailing List

XV. TMDL Waterbodies:

Subsegment <u>041601</u>, is listed on LDEQ's Final 2004 303(d) list as impaired for Pathogen Indicators. To date no TMDLs have been completed for this waterbody. A reopener clause will be established in the permit to allow for the requirement of more stringent effluent limitations and requirements as

imposed by a TMDL. The facility has the potential to discharge pathogen indicators from its sanitary wastewaters. Therefore, the permit has established fecal coliform limitations. However, the permittee is proposing to reroute all of its sanitary wastewater to the local POTW. When this is accomplished, the facility will no longer have the potential to discharge fecal coliform.

XVI. Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWP3) Requirements:

In accordance with LAC 33:IX.2707.I.3 and 4 [40 CFR 122.44(I)(.3) and (4)], a Part II condition is proposed for applicability to all stormwater discharges from the facility, either through permitted outfalls or through outfalls which are not listed in the permit or as sheet flow. The Part II condition requires a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWP3) within six (6) months of the effective date of the final permit, along with other requirements. If the permittee maintains other plans that contain duplicative information, that plan could be incorporated by reference into the SWP3. Examples of these type plans include, but are not limited to: Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan (SPCC), Best Management Plan (BMP), Response Plans, etc. The conditions will be found in the draft permit. Including Best Management Practice (BMP) controls in the form of a SWP3 is consistent with other LPDES and EPA permits regulating similar discharges of storm water associated with industrial activity, as defined at LAC 33:IX.2511.B.14 [(40 CFR 122.26(b)(14)].

XVII. Relocation of Final Outfall 001:

The permittee proposes to relocate Final Outfall 001 to the Michoud Canal. This project will consist of constructing a new sump/pump station, and a 3,600 feet pipeline. In accordance with 40 CFR 122.47(a)(1), (3) and (4), the permit has established a schedule for completion of this project. (See Part II, Paragraph I).

Appendix A

Effluent data

Outfall 001

File: 20_Outlat-001_2004_PhitV.sts Street_001-V/1

d. Fecal

Coliform

Fluoride

c, Calar

Chlorine,

a. Bronide

CAS NO

c. Total Organic Carbon (TOC) Oxygen Demand (800) PART B - Mark "X" in column 2-a for each pollulant you know or have reason to believe is present. Mark "X" in column 2-b for each pollutant you believe to be absent. If you mark column 2a for any pollutant which is limite. d. Tota: Suspended Solids (TSS) Simulation of EPA Form 3510-2C (8-90) (24959-67-9) Nitrite (as N) Oxygen Demand otal Residual 16984-48-8) (il available) I. POLLUT Biochemical ANT AND Temperature Temperature Ammonia (as N) Chemical either directly, or indirectly but expressly, in an effluent limitations guideline, you must provide the results of at least one analysis for that pollutant. For other pollutants for which you mark column 2a, you must provide quantitative data or an explanation of their presence in your discharge. Complete one table for each outfall. See the instructions for additional details and requirements PRESENT CIEVED a BE. × 2. MARK X 8. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE MINIMUM VALUE VALUE VALUE CONCENTRATION ABSELLI LIEVED b. 8E-6.42 26.3 35 B 112 4 3 × \times × × 2.10 66 78 CENTRATION a. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE MAXIMUM (1) COM->240 <0.030 0.6 222 231 Š Z 769 (2) 16. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE C.LONG TERM AVRG. VALUE MINIMUM VALUE VALUE CONCENTRATION VALUE MASS 8.94 15.25 63.0 (3) Z Z Ζ 3 1.15 76 b. MAXIUM 30 DAY VALUE MAXIMUN CENTRATION (1) CON-Z Z 472 Z 8 101 6 (if available) PAGE V-1 VALUE VALUE VALUE CONCENTRATION EFFLUENT MASS 8.75 (2) 49,9 Š Š Ξ 8 0.726 Z Z C. LONG TERM AVRG. VALUE CELITRATION (1) COM-61.63 30.64 MASS 353 NΑ Š 5 Cont. Record Cont. Record Cont. Record Cont. Record d. NO. OF ANALYSES MASS 312 312 312 2 d NO OF . CONCEN STANDARD UNITS mg/L nig/L TRATION YSES n)g/L ANAL J/b̂tti mg/L Z Z Z $\frac{Z}{P}$ Z (specify if blank) col/100 m a. CONCEN -TRATION lbs/day lbs/day ibs/day 5. MASS חוקי/נ MGD NΑ NA Z Z Ž Ž VALUE VALUE CONCENTRATION **VALUE** 5 MASS AVERAGE VALUE a. LONG TERM CONTINUE ON REVERSE CELITRATION (1) COT AVERAGE VALUE a, LONG TERM 3 5. INTAKE (optional) SSVI (3 ANALYSES b. NO. OF STORY OF TABLE 535,

(summer)

winter)

무

V. INTAKE AND EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTIC Scontinued from page 3 of Form 2-C)

PART A - You must provide the results of at least one analysis for every pollutant in this table. Complete one table for each outfall. See instructions for additional details.

3. UNITS

4. IHTAKE (optional)

OUTFALLMO, 001

EPA I.D. NIMBER (copy from Item I of

LA0003280

EFFLUENT

this information on separate sheets (use the same format) instead of completing these pages. PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE IN THE UNSHADED AREAS ONLY. You may report some or all of

SEE INSTRUCTIONS

I. POLLUTANT

CONTINUE ON PAGE V-3						PAGE V-2)-2C (8-90)	Form 3510	Simulation of EP A
		N A	N P							×		Total X (7440-32-6)
		NA	NA NA							>		x. Titarium,
		,						}		×		w. Tin, Total
	-	Z A	Z Þ							×		Total (7439-96-5)
		mg/L	د						<0.010	×		(7439-98-7)
	-											u. Molybdenum,
		ıng/L	1						11.1		×	Total (7439-95-4)
		mg/L							0.387		×	(7439-89-6)
-		mg/L	-						<0.010	×		Total (7440-48-4)
		NA	N P							×		(7440-42-8)
		N _A	NA A							×		Total (7440-39-3)
		NA	NA A							×		Total (7429-90-5)
		NA	N _A							×		o Aluminum
		NA	NA							×		(14265-45-3)
		NA	NA					_		×		(as S)
		mg/L	-			! 			234		×	(14808-79-8)
		NA A	NA					<u>.</u>		×		(4) Isadiom, 226, Total
		NA.	N _A							×		Total
		NA	NA							×		Total
		NA A	NA.	•						×		Total
		mg/L									,	j. Radioactivity
		:	,						<u>.</u>		×	(35 P), Total
(Conc. reported as zero on DMR)	lbs/day (mg/L	312	0	<5.0	0	<5.0	С	<5.0		×	n Cilland Grease
		Z Þ	N A							×		Total Organic
(1) COH- (2) ISES CENTRATION MASS				(2) MASS	(1) CON- CENTRATION	MASS	(1) COM- CENTRATION	(Z) MASS	CENTRATION	VBSENT	PRESENT	(il available)
a LONG TERM bild OF AVERAGE VALUE MINT	D. MASS	a, CONCEIN- TRATION	d. NO, OF	AVRG. VALUE	C. LONG TERM AVRG. VALUE	DAY VALUE	b. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE (If available)	AILY VALUE	A MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE	n 8E-		AND CAS
5. ILITEISE (optional)	STI	4. UHITS				3. EFFLUENT				2. NARIC'X	T	- 1
OO1LL 001		LA0003280							140 CO 1 1 1 CO 14 -			

Simulation of EPA Form 3510-2C (8-90)

PAGE V-3

EPA LD NUMBER (copy from lien) I of Form 1, OUTFALL NUMBER LA0003280 001

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 3 OF FORM 2-C

PART C - If your are a primary industry and this outfall contains process wastewater, refer to Table 2c-2 in the instructions to determine which of the GC/MS fractions you must test for, Mark "X" in column 2-a for all such SCMS fractions that apply to your industry and for ALL toxic metals, cyanides, and total phenols. If you are not required to mark column 2-a (secondary industries, nonprocess be discharged. Note that there are 7 pages to this part, please review each carefully. Complete one table (all 7 page) for each outfall. See instructions for additional details and requirements. concentrations of 100 ppb or greater. Otherwise, for pollutants for which you mark column 2b, you must either submit at least one analysis or briefly describe the reasons the pollutant is expected to dinitrophenol, or 2-metryl-4.6 dinitrophenol, you must provide the results of at least one analysis for each of these pollutants which you know or have reason to believe that you discharge in of at least one analysis for that pollutant if you know or have reason to believe it will be discharged in concentrations of 10 ppb or greater. If you mark column 2b for acrolein, acrylonitrile, 2.4 befieve is absent. If you mark column 2a for any pollutant, you must provide the results of at least one analysis for that pollutant. If you mark column 2b for any pollutant, you must provide the results wastewater outfalls, and nonrequired GC/MS fractions), mark "X" in column 2-b for each pollutant you know or have reason to believe is present. Mark "X" in column 2-c for each pollutant you

2	-{j.2	E MARK X				· Lu	3. EFFLUENI			—		S	5 INTAKE (optional)	(iai)
				A. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE	AILT VALUE	5, MAXIUM 30 DAY VALUE	DAY VALUE	C. LONG TERM AVEG.	VEG VALUE	d NO. OF	Ŧ	b MASS	a LONG TERM	6 15 CL
AND CAS	3. 1EST.	b. e.e.	ć Š			(il available)	10/0)	(if available)	ble)	ANAL	TRATION		AVERAGE VALUE	ai jai.
NUMBER	ŝ	LIEVED	LIEVED	(1) 0017-	(2)	(1) CON-	(2)	(I) CD#-	(2)	SES.			(1) CON- (2)	SE 5
(il available) - F	REQUIRED	PRESENT		CENTRATION	MASS	CENTRATION	MASS	CENTRATION	MASS	••			<u>=</u>	
METALS, CYANIDE, AND T	اسا	NOLS											Ì	
Total (7440-36-0)	×			<0.020	ı						mg/L			
2M. Arsenic, Total											,			
(7440-38-2)	×	<u> </u>		<0.010						_	mg/L			
3M. Beryllium,						-,					d			
Total (7440-41-7)	×			<0.010						_	ing/L		****	
4M. Cadmium,	ļ	-							1					
Total (7440-43-9)	×		_	0.00386							mg/L			
5M. Chromium,														
Total (74-I0-47-3)	×			<0.010						 .	mg/L			
6M. Copper, Total											1			
(7440-50-8)	×			<0.010							mg/L			
7M. Lead, Total											,			
(7439-92-1)	×			0.0119						_	mg/L			
SM. Mercury, Total														
(7439-97-6)	×	,. , .		<0.0002							≀ng/L			
9Nt. Nickel, Total														
(7440-02-0)	×			<0.005							nıg/L		<u>-</u>	
10M. Selenium											•			
Tatal (7782-49-2)	×			0.0338				•		<u></u>	mg/L		•	
IIM. Silver, Total											,			
(7440-22-4)	×			<0.010						_	mg/L			
12M. Thallium,														
Total (74-I0-28-0)	×			0.041						_	mg/L			
13M. Zinc, Total									-		ļ			
(7440-66-6)	×			0.968	2.60	0.16	0.995	0.07	0.37	312	mg/L	lbs/day)		
IAM, Cyanide,														
Total (57-12-b)	×			<0.010						_	mg/L			
15M. Phenols,		_												
Total	×			< 0.010						1	mg/L			
DIOXIN														
2,3,7,8 Tetra-					DESCRIBE RESULTS	RESULTS					•			
chlorodibenzo-P-			×											
[Dioxin (176:1-01-6)														
Simulation of EDA Earn 2510 30 (8 00)	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0													1

CONTINUE ON REVERSE

S) PR (10) 1.3/4	File, TC_Outal	
	1001	
	2004	
	Party	
	w .	

CONTINUED FROM THE FRONT	OM THE	MARK X			3	3, 675	_1				LA0003280	STI		5. 114	
1 POLLUTANT	a TEST.	<u>ப்</u> ந ஷ	ယ် အ မ	a. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		b. MAXIMUM 30 DAY VALUE		C. LONG TERM AVRG. VALUE	VRG VALUE	d NO OF	வ	CONCEN-	a CONCEID b MASS	WASS al	WASS al
	9	LIEVED	LIEVED ABSENT	(1) CON- CENTRATION	(2) MASS	(1) CON- CENTRATION	(2) MASS	(I) CON- CENTRATION	(2) MASS	YSES				(1) ((1) (
N . V	OLATILE C	OMPOUN	SC	}					.						-
(V. Acrolein (107-02-8)	×			<0.050						-	$\overline{}$	ma/L	ma/L	ma/L	ma/L
2V. Acrylonitrile (107-13-1)	×			<0.060						<u> </u>		mg/L	ma/L	ma/L	mg/L
3V. Benzene (71-43-2)	×			<0.005						-		mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
4V. Bis (Chloro- methyl) Ether (542-86-1)		NO LONGER A	JGER A	PRIORITY POLLUTANT	OLLUTANT							ımg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
5V. Bromoform (75-25-2)	×			<0.005						-	1	nıa/L	חופ/L	nia/L	nio/L
6V Carbon Telrachloride (56-23-5)	×		· ·	<0.005						_	1	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
7V. Chlorobenzene (108-90-7)	×			<0.005						<u> </u>	l l	rng/L	rng/L	rng/L	rng/L
8V. Chlorodi- bromomethane (124-48-1)	×			<0.005						_		mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
9V. Chloroethane (75-00-3)	×	:		<0.010					-	1		mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
10V. 2-Chloro- ethylvinyl Ether (110-75-8)	×			<0.010					:	_		mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
(67-66-3)	×			<0.005						1		mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
12V. Dichlaro- bromamethane (75-27-4)	×			<0.005						1	!	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
13V. Dichloro- difluoromethane (75-71-8)		NO LONGER A	GER A	PRIORITY POLLUTANT	OLLUTANT					ţ		mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
ethane (75-34-3)	×			<0.005							1	ıng/L	ıng/L	Ing/L	ıng/L
ethane (107-06-2)	×			<0.005					:		}	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
ethylene (75-35-4)	×			<0.005					:			n1g/L	J/grii	mg/L	mg/L
propane (78-87-5)	×			<0.005						_		nng/L	ກາg/L	n1g/L	ng/L
18V. 1,3-Dichloro- propylene (542-75-6)	×			<0.005					<u>.</u>			mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
(100-41-4)	×			<0.005						_		mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
Bromide (74-83-9)	×			<0.010				-				mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
2 (V. Methy) Chloride (74-87-3)	×			<0.010								3 2 2	ma/l	1101	ino/

7	File, 20_Ovital)-001_2004
Ť	55
3	'၀
001-7/5	2
.,5	Ē.
	ğ
	ا _ت
	ş
	Ĕ.
	PantV vis
	100

C. BE. LIEVED (1) CON- ABSENT CENTRATION (2) (2) (1) CON- ABSENT CENTRATION (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	2 040		, V-4							1		LA0003280		0	OUTFALL 001	101
Note 1875	- BOW MEANT		Z. MARIK X				Li	3. EFFLUENT		-	L	4, UN	iis	5. IH FAIKE (option)	ali.	
NA COLONIC CONTINUENCE C	AND CAS	a. l'EST.	p. Br		a, MAXIMUM DA		b. MAXINUM 3	0 DAY VALUE	c. LONG TERM /	- 175	d NO. OF	a CONCEN-	b MASS	a LONG 1	TERM	DEC 04
VOLATILE COMPONINOS (Complexical) VOLATICA COMPONINOS (Complexical) VOLATICA COMPONINOS (Complexical) VOLATICA COMPONINOS (Complexical) VOLATICA COMPONINOS VOLATICA	NUMBER (il available)	REQUIRED	CEVED		(1) CON-	(2)	(1) CON-	(2)	(1) COII-	(2)	YSES			(1) COIN-	(2)	୍ୟ ଅଟେ .
X C0.005	ON.	VOLATILE	COMPOUN	DS (Conti	nued)		CENTRAL TOTAL	1850	CERTAC	MASS				CENTRATION	MASS	
X C0005						į										
X	W 1 1 2 2-Take	×		-	<0.005							mg/L				
4. X	Norgethane	×			<0.005		···-				<u> </u>	mg/L				
X C0.005 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	IV. Tetrachloro- hylene (127-18-4)	×			<0.005							Ga/				
X	3V Toluene 08-85-3)	×			<0.005							mn/l				-
X	W. 1,2-Trains-	,			0000	ļ					-	r				
X <0.005 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	55-60-5)	×			<0.005						_	ing/L				
X	V. I.I.I-Tiì- koroethane I-55-6)	×			<0.005						-	пъд/С				
X X X X X X X X X X	V. I.1.2-Tri- iloroethane	×			<0.005							mg/L				
NO LONGER A PRIORITY POLLUTANT	hylene (79-01-6)	×			<0.005						_	mg/L	ļ			
X	oromethane 5-69-4)		NO LONG		RIORITY POL	LUTANT					_>	mg/L				
N-ACID COMPOUNDS O	(V. Vinyl Iloride (75-01-4)	×			<0.010							n)g(I				
X <0.005 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ACID COM	POUNDS									i i				
X <0.005	5-57-8)	×			<0.005			!				mg/L				
X <0.005	(, 2,4-Dichloro- leno) (120-83-2)	><			<0.005						_	ma/L				
X <0.010	\. 2.4 Dimethyl- ienol (105-67-9)	×			<0.005						_	1/0/[
X < 0.020 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	esol (534-52-1)	×			<0.010			į			_	יחמ/ר				
X <0.010	v. 2,4-Dinitro- venot (51-28-5)	*			<0.020					_		mg/L				
X <0.020	 2-Nitraphenal 75-5) 	Х			<0.010							ma/L				
X <0.005 1 X <0.005 1 X <0.005 1	3. 4-Nitrophenol 00-02-7]	×			<0.020		-				_	lmo/l				
X <0.010 1 X <0.005 1	 P-Chloro-M- esol (59-50-7) 	×			<0.005	į					<u>-</u>	ma/L				
X <0.005 1	A. Pentachtoro- ienol (87-86-5)	×			<0.010							ma/L				
X <0.005	JA, Phenol 08-95-2)	×			<0.005	,						mg/L				
	IA. 2,4,6-Tri- Iforophenol	×			<0.005	-						mg/L				

4	=
-	Ċ
5	Outlatt-001
	7004
	ParlV.xls

CONTINUED FROM THE FRONT	THE FRO	Z									LA0003280		OUTFA	OUTFALL 001
		2 MARK'N					3. EFFLUENT	NT			A. UNITS	SIII	5. ILITAKE (optional)	(ptional)
1. POLLUTANT	a Test.	D 31F.	n !	A. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		6. MAXIUM 30 DAY VALUE	DAY VALUE	C. LONG TERM AVRG, VALUE	VRG. VALUE	d, NO, OF	a. CONCEN-	b. MASS	a. LONG TERM	माहा जात
RUMBER (II ovailable)	REQUIRED	PRESENT		(1) CON-	(2) NASS ((1) CON-	(2) MASS	(1) CON-	(2)	YSES			(1) (1) (1)	
GC/MS FRACTION - BASE/NEUTRAL COMPOUNDS	E/NEUTRA	L COMPOU				-							ſ	
18. Acenaphthene [83-32-9]	×			<0.005						<u>-</u>	ma/L			
28. Acenaphthylene (200-96-8)	×			<0.005						<u>.</u>	ma/1		-	
38. Anthiacene (120-12-7)	×			<0.005						<u>.</u>	30/1			
48 Benzidine (92-37-5)	×			<0.020							l/arn			
5B Senzo (a) Anthracene	×			<0.005						-	mg/L			
6B. Benzo (ส)														
Pyrene (50-32-8)	×			<0.005						1	nig/L			
(205-99-2)	×			<0.005							nığ/L			
88. Benzo <i>(gl.ii)</i> Perylene (191-24-2)	×			<0.005						٠.	ıng/L			
98. Benzo (A) Fluoranthene (207-08-9)	×		:	<0.005						-	ıng/L			
108. Bis ₍ 2- <i>Chloro-</i> <i>eth</i> oxy). Methane (<u>111-9</u> 1-1)	×			<0.005						_	nìg/L			
118. Bis (2- <i>Chlaro-</i> eth _t t) Ether (111-44-4)	×			<0.005						→	mg/L			
128. Bis (2-C/Horo/so- propyl) Ether (102-60-1)	×			<0.005		-				→	mg/L			
138. Bis (2-Eth)(1- hev)(1) Phthalate (117-81-7)	×			<0.005						<u>-</u>	m ³ g/L			
148 4-Bromo- phenyl-Phenyl Ether (101-55-3)	×			<0.005						→	mg/L			
158. Bulyl Benzyi Philialate (85-68-7)	×			<0.005						-3	rng/L			
(91-58-7)	×			<0.005							mg/L			
178, 4-Chloro- phenyl Phenyl Ether (7005-72-3)	×			<0,005						<u> </u>	mg/L			
138, Chiyseine (218-01-9)	×			<0.005							mg/L			
198. Urbenzo (a./) Anthraceire (53-70-3)	×			<0.005	**************************************					1	mg/L			
208. 1,2-Dichloro- benzene (95-50-1)	×			<0.005						_	ıng/L			
benzene (541-73-1)	×			<0.005						_	mg/L			
simulation of EPA Form 3510-2C (8-90)	10-20 (3-90	ָננ				F	PAGE V-6						CONTIN	CONTINUE ON PAGE V-

File 37 Coutall-001, 2004, FactVivis Steam, 2011-97

1 mg/L	 NA <0.005 	* * * * * * * * * * *	(206-44-0) X (206-44-0) X (206-44-0) X 32B. Fluorene X (206-44-0) X (206-46-0) X (
	 NA <0.005 	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	206-44-0) 206-73-7) 206-73-7) 208-73-7) 208-73-7 208-73-7 208-73-74-1) 208-73-74-1) 208-73-74-1) 208-73-74-1) 208-73-74-1) 208-73-74-1) 208-73-20-7 20
	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005		28. Fluorene 28. Fluorene 56-73-7) 38. Hexacilloro- enzene (118-74-1) 48. Hexacilloro- blorobuladiene 97-08-3) 55. Hexacilloro- 98. Hexacilloro- thane (67-72-1) 75. Indeno 1,2,2-an Pyrene 193-39-5) 193-39-5) 98. Naphitalene 99. Naphitalene 99. Naphitalene 99. Naphitalene
	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	28. Fluorene 28. Fluorene 28. Fluorene 28. Fluorene 38. Hexachiloro- enzene (118-74-1) 48. Hexa- niorobuladiene 7-03-3) 56. Hexachiloro- yolopeniadiene 7-47-4) 68. Hexachiloro- thane (67-72-1) 78. Indeno 1/2.7-all Pyrene 193-39-5) 98. Isophorone 98. Isophorone 98. Isophorone 98. Isophorone 98. Isophorone 98. Isophorone
	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005		105 Florene 106.14.0) 28 Florene 106.73.7) 38. Hexachloro- enzene (119.74-1) 18. Hexachloro- enzene (119.74-1) 19. Hexachloro- enzene (119.74-1) 19. Hexachloro- elopentadiene 17.09-3) 18. Hexachloro- elopentadiene 17.47-4) 18. Hexachloro- elopentadiene 17.47-4) 18. Hexachloro- elopentadiene 17.47-1) 18. Hexachloro- elopentadiene 17.47-1) 18. Hexachloro- 18.33-5) 18. Isophorone 19.33-5)
	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	105 Florene 106.44.0) 28 Florene 106.73.7) 38 Hexachloro- suzene (119.74-1) 18 Hexa- slorebuladiene 17-08-3) 58 Hexachloro- colopentadiene 17-17-4) 16 Hexachloro- colopentadiene 17-17-17-17-17-17-17-17-17-17-17-17-17-1
	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005	× × × × ×	06.44.0) 28 Fluorene 6-73-7) 38. Hexachloro- Inzene (118-74-1) 89. Hexa- Idoobttadiene 17-68-3) 56. Hexachloro- cloperitadiene 7-47-4) 168 Hexachloro- cloperitadiene 7-47-4) 168 Hexachloro- cloperitadiene 7-47-4) 168 Hexachloro- tiane (67-72-1)
	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005	× × × × ×	06.4.0) 88. Fluorene 6-73-7) 88. Hexachloro- mzene (118-74-1) 108. Hexa- tlorobutadiene 7-08-3) 56. Hexachloro- clopentadiene 7-47-4)
	<0.005	* * * *	06.14.0) 28. Fluorene 28. Fluorene 6.73-7) 18. Hexachloro- torobutadiene 7-68-3)
	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	× × ×	06.44-0) 06.44-0) 28. Fluorene (6-73-7) 36. Hexachloro-
	NA <0.005	× ×	06-44-0) 26. Fluorene 6-73-7)
	NA <0.005	×	06-44-0)
	NA		
		×	306. 1.2 - Diphenyl hydrazine (as. 420- benzene) (122-66-7)
	<0.005	×	298. Di-N-Octyl Phthalate (117-84-0)
	<0.005	×	288, 2.6 - Dinitro- toluene (606-20-2)
	<0.005	><	278. 24 - Dinitro- toluene (121-14-2)
	<0.005	×	758 DEN-Bulyl Philhalate (84-74-2)
	<0.005	×	258. Dimethyl Phtholate (131-11-3)
1 mg/L	<0.005	×	248. Diethyl Phthaiate (84-66-2)
1 mg/L	<0.005	×	238: 3,3 - Dichloro- benzidine (91-94-1)
1 mg/L	<0.005	×	228. 1,4 - Dichloro- benzene (106-46-7)
MASS CENTRATION MASS CENTRATION 6	RATION MASS C	칻	(if avoilable)
b. MAXIUM 30 DAY VALUE c. LONG TERM AVRG. VALUE d. NO. OF a. CONCEN- b. MASS a. LONG TERM PHO. OF AVERAGE VALUE (I) CON- (2) (3) CON- (2) YSES (1) CON- (2) (1) CON- (2) (2) YSES	a. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE c RE: (1) COM- (2)	a. TEST: b. BE-	HUMBURA SVO CHA TRIVILLIA
4. UNITS 5. HATANG (optional)		2. MARK X	

File-2C_Confall-001_2004_FadV vis Sheet (4)1-78

Simulation of EPA Form 35 (0-20 (5-90)	16P. Heplachlor (76-14-8)	(7421-93-4)	15P. Endiin	(72-20-8)	14D, Endin	(103)-07-8)	13P. Endosulfan	(115-29-7)	12P. ß-Endosulfan	(115-29-7)	(60-57-1)	10P, Dieldrin	(72-54-8)	(72-55-9)	8P. 1.4'-DDE	(50-29-9)	(0,-,4-8)	6P. Chlordane	(319-86-8)	(59-89-9)	4P, γ-BHC	(319-85-7)	(319-84-6)	2P. α-BHC	(309-00-2)	GC/MS FRACTION - PESTICIDES	(120.82-1)	distriction of the state of the	(109-00-0)	45B Pyrene	148. Phenanthrene	(86-30-6)	43B, N.Nitro-	GCIMS FRACTION - BASEINEUTRAL COMPOUNDS (continued)	lų avojapje) irchvidelis	SVO CHA	2. Ma
3510-2C (8-90)																										ICIDES.		 -	×	 > -			χ 	NEUTRAL COMPO	REQUIRED FRESENT	-	2. MAPIC'S
	×	>	<	×		×		×		×	×	:	×	×	_	×	>	۲ .	×	 ×		×	×	;	×									UNDS (conti	AL VESEIN		િક
																											0.00	0000	<0.005	<0.005))	0.00	20.005	nued)	RATION	A: NOSCIMON DAILY VALUE	
															.																				(2) MASS	CA AWENG	
																																···			(1) CON- CENTRATION	b. Micklow 30 DAY VALUE	
						•																													MASS	DAY VALUE	3. EFFLUENT
											İ																								CENTRATION	c. LONG TERM AYRG. VALUE (if available)	
																																			(2) MASS	AVRG, VALUE	
-	<u> </u>	_	•	<u>-</u>									_	_		<u> </u>				1		_	-	-	-			÷				-	÷		SBS	d, NO, OF	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1/0/1	mg/L	:	mg/L		ng/L		mg/L		mg/L	mg/L	Į.	הופ/ב	mg/L	C	mg/L	ıng/L		ni g /L	mg/L		mg/L	mg/L	114,1	mo/l			;	n ig/L	rng/L		mg/L				TRATION b. MASS	ا نه ا
																																		1	(1) COLL (2)	AVERAGE VALUE	
									-			-												-								 .			- 58.9	915C	1 1

CONTINUED FROM PAGE V-8	1 PAGE V	Ċ		EPA LD, HUMBER (copy from lient of Form 1) OUTFALL NUMBER LA0003280 001	ER (copy from to LA0003280	tem Lat Form 1)	DUTFALL NUI	JMBER							
		2. MARION X				3	3. EFFLUENT				4 UNITS	21.00	5 #.17	5 HITAKE (outions)	_
1 Pottor				a. MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE	יורא אשרחב	5. MAXIUM 30 DAY VALUE	DAY VALUE	c. LONG TERM AVEG	WEG. VALUE	d, NO, OF	a. CONCEN-	b. MASS	MNB1 SHOT #	1ERM	10 OI
ANT AND	a. TEST.	b. ar.	C. BE-			(il available)	ablej	(if available)	able)	ANAL-	TRATION		AVERAGE VALUE	VALUE	AHM
	<u> </u>	LIEVED	LIEVED	(1) COM-	(2)	(I) CON-	(2)	(1) CON-	(2)	SBSA			(1) 00011-	(3)	357
'Me spection of	REGUIRED	PRESERT	ABSELIT	CENTRATION	MASS	CENTRATION	NASS	CENTRATION	MASS				CEHTRATION	MASS	
179 Heplachior	10000	commuea		_											
Epoxide			×							٠	mg/L				
(1024-57-3)											,				
ISP, PCB-1242															
(53-169-21-9)			×			_				_	mg/L				
19P PCB-1254		_													
(11097-69-1)			×							<u> </u>	nig/L				
20P PCB-1221															
(11104-28-2)			×							_	mg/L				
21F, FC8-1232															
(11141-16-5)			×								mg/L				
22P, PCB-1248	_														
(12672-29-6)			×					•		_	rng/L				
23P. PC8-1260															
(11096-82-5)			×								ı⊪g/L				
24P. PCB-1016															
(12674-11-2)			×							_	nıg/L				
25P Toxaphene														_	
			×					_		•	00/				

Appendix B

Biomonitoring Recommendation

BIOMONITORING FREQUENCY RECOMMENDATION AND RATIONALE FOR ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Permit Number:

LA0003280

Facility Name:

Air Products & Chemicals - New Orleans Facility

Previous Critical Dilution:

100%

Proposed Critical Dilution:

Existing Outfall 001:

95%

Proposed Outfall 001:

6%

Date of Review:

01/11/05

Name of Reviewer:

Kim Gunderson

Recommended Frequency by Species:

Menidia beryllina (Inland Silverside minnow):

Once/Quarter¹

Mysidopsis bahia (Mysid shrimp):

Once/Quarter1

Recommended Dilution Series²:

Existing Outfall 001:

30%, 40%, 53%, 71%, and 95%

Proposed Outfall 001: 2%, 3%, 4%, 6%, and 8%

Number of Tests Performed during previous 5 years by Species:

Menidia beryllina (Inland Silverside minnow):

03

Mysidopsis bahia (Mysid shrimp):

 0^{3}

Number of Failed Tests during previous 5 years by Species:

Menidia beryllina (Inland Silverside minnow):

0

Mysidopsis bahia (Mysid shrimp):

0

Failed Test Dates during previous 5 years by Species:

Menidia beryllina (Inland Silverside minnow):

N/A - Testing of species was not required

Mysidopsis bahia (Mysid shrimp):

N/A - Testing of species was not required

Previous TRE Activities:

N/A - No previous TRE Activities

If there are no lethal or sub-lethal effects demonstrated after the first year of quarterly testing, the permittee may certify fulfillment of the WET testing requirements in writing to the permitting authority. If granted, the monitoring frequency for the test species may be reduced to not less than once per year for the less sensitive species (usually Menidia beryllina) and not less than twice per year for the more sensitive species (usually Mysidopsis bohia). Upon expiration of the permit, the monitoring frequency for both species shall revert to once per quarter until the permit is re-issued.

² Since the permittee has indicated the possibility of relocating Outfall 001, LDEQ is providing a dilution series for the existing Outfall 001 and proposed Outfall 001.

³ Biomonitoring requirements contained in previous permit LA0003280, effective September 16, 1986, required biomonitoring quarterly for two years. Therefore, there are no biomonitoring tests in the past five years.

Additional Requirements (including WET Limits) Rationale / Comments Concerning Permitting:

Air Products & Chemicals – New Orleans Facility owns and operates an industrial gas production facility in New Orleans, Orleans Parish, Louisiana. NPDES Permit LA0003280, effective September 16, 1986, contained biomonitoring requirements as follows: The permittee shall determine if 80% or greater of the culture of test organisms will survive by use of the "Range-Finding Screening Test" set out in "Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents to Freshwater and Marine Organisms". Organisms for this test were Daphnia sp. if the effluent is less than five parts per thousand salinity or Mysidopsis sp. if the effluent is equal to or greater than five parts per thousand salinity. The screening test was to be conducted within 60 days of the effectiveness of the biomonitoring requirements and were to be conducted quarterly for two years using a static method for 24 hours with an effluent sample of 100% by volume.

To adequately assess the facility's effluent potential for receiving stream and/or aquatic species toxicity, it is recommended that marine chronic biomonitoring be an effluent characteristic of Outfall 001 (discharge of 1.17 MGD of reverse osmosis reject water, excess reverse osmosis permeate, cooling tower blowdown, boiler blowdown, demineralizer regeneration, cooling tower side-stream filter backwash, condensate, scrubber water, previously monitored sanitary wastewater, equipment wash waters, air conditioning condensate, steam condensate, service lines leaks, fire protection wastewater, and hydrostatic testing waters) in LA0003280. Since the permittee has indicated the possibility of relocating Outfall 001, LDEQ is providing a dilution series for the existing Outfall 001 and proposed Outfall 001. For the EXISTING Outfall 001, the effluent dilution series shall be 30%, 40%, 53%, 71%, and 95% concentrations, with 95% being defined as the critical dilution. For the PROPOSED Outfall 001, the effluent dilution series shall be 2%, 3%, 4%, 6%, and 8% concentrations, with 6% being defined as the critical dilution.

In accordance with the Environmental Protection Agency (Region 6) WET testing frequency acceleration(s), the biomonitoring frequency shall be once per quarter for Menidia beryllina and Mysidopsis bahia. If there are no significant lethal or sub-lethal effects demonstrated at or below the critical dilution during the first four quarters of testing, the permittee may certify fulfillment of the WET testing requirements to the permitting authority and WET testing may be reduced to not less than once per six months for the more sensitive species (Mysidopsis bahia) and not less than once per year for the less sensitive species (Menidia beryllina) for the remainder of the term of the permit. Upon expiration of the permit, the monitoring frequency for both test species shall revert to once per quarter until the permit is re-issued.

This recommendation is in accordance with the LDEQ/OES Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards, EPA Region 6 Post-Third Round Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Frequencies (Revised June 30, 2000), and the Best Professional Judgement (BPJ) of the reviewer.

Appendix C

Water Quality Spreadsheet and Documentation

Spreadsheet for Existing Discharge Location –

Roadside ditch thence to Maxent Canal thence to Intracoastal Waterway Date: 02/02

Appendix C-1

Revision date: 12/13/02

Developer: Bruce Fielding Time: 11:28 AM

Software: Lotus 4.0

LA0112780 / AI 83613

131011	oacc.	12/13/02									
			Water	Quality	Screen	for	Air	Products	6	Chemicals/New Orleans Facility	

	Water Quality	screen for All Produc	LS & Chemica	13/146# Olicons (delli	- 7
Input variables:				_ / /	• /
Receiving Water Character	istics:	Dilution:		Toxicity Dilutio	
		ZID Fs =	0.1	Biomonitoring di	
Receiving Water Name≃	Roadside ditch t	hence to Maxent Canal		Dilution Series	Factor: 0.75
Critical flow (Qr) cfs=	0.1	MZ Fs =	1		
Harm, mean/avg tidal cfs=	1	Critical Qr (MGD)=	0.06463		Percent Effluent
Drinking Water=1 HHNPCR=2		Harm. Mean (MGD)≖	0.6463	Dilution No. 1	94.765\$
Marine, 1=y, 0=n		ZID Dilution = 0	.994506	Dilution No. 2	71.0739%
Rec. Water Hardness=	1655	MZ Dilution = 0	.947652	Dilution No. 3	53.3054%
Rec. Water TSS=	4.43	HHnc Dilution= 0	. 947652	Dilution No. 4	39.9791%
Fisch/Specific=1,Stream=0	1	HHc Dilution= 0	.644167	Dilution No. 5	29.9843%
Diffuser Ratio=		ZID Upstream = 0	.005524		
		MZ Upstream = 0	.055239	Partition Coeffici	ents; Dissolved>Total
Effluent Characteristics:		MZhhnc Upstream= 0	. 055239		
		hemicals/New Orleans	Facility	METALS	FW
Permit Number=	LA0003280/AI 206		•	Total Arsenic	1.717415
Facility flow (Qef),MGD=	1.17		.552393	Total Cadmium	4.296306
1001110/ 110 (2017,77.00		ZID Hardness=		Chromium III	4.728958
Outfall Number =	001	MZ Hardness=		Chromium VI	1
Eff. data, 2=lbs/day	•	ZID TSS=		Total Copper	2.531434
MQL, 2=lbs/day		M2 TSS=		Total Lead	4.770846
Effluent Hardness=	N/A	Multipliers:		Total Mercury	3.354515
Effluent TSS=	N/A	WLAa> LTAa	0.32	Total Nickel	1.929287
WQBL ind. 0=y, 1=n	*,,	WLAC> LTAC	0.53	Total Zinc	2.95358
Acute/Chr. ratio 0=n, 1=1	, 0	LTA a,c>WQBL avg	1.31		
Aquatic, acute only1=y,0=1		LTA a,c>WQBL max	3.11	Aquatic Life, Di	ssolved
Addatic, acute only129, 0-1	•	LTA h> WOBL max	2.38	Metal Criteria,	ug/L
Page Numbering/Labeling		WQBL-limit/report	2.13	METALS	ACUTE CHRONIC
Appendix	Appendix C-1	WLA Fraction	1	Arsenic	339.8 150
Page Numbers 1=y, 0=n	1	WOBL Fraction	1	Cadmium	66D.0963 B.13226
Input Page # 1=y, 0=n	1	WODE LIGGER		Chromium III	5464.629 1772.669
Input rage # 1=y, 0=ii	•	Conversions:		Chromium VI	15.712 10.582
Figsboy/Site Cossific in	out e :	ug/L>lbs/day Qef0	.009758	Copper	259.2898 135.1456
Fischer/Site Specific in		ug/L>lbs/day Qeo	0	Lead	1110.737 43.28382
Pipe=1, Canal=2, Specific=		ug/L>lbs/day Qr 0		Mercury	1.734 0.012
Pipe width, feet		lbs/day>ug/L Qeol		Nickel	15204.92 1688.629
ZID plume dist., feet		lbs/day>ug/L Qefl		Zinc	1233.938 1126.773
MZ plume dist., feet		diss>tot 1=y0=n	1	41112	
HHnc plume dist., feet			1	Site Specific Mu	oltiplier Values:
HHc plume dist., feet		Cu diss->totl=y0=n	0.6463	CV =	
		cfs>MGD	0.0403	N =	- ~ =
Fischer/site specific di		n / / 0		WLAa> LTAa	
F/specific ZID Dilution		Receiving Stream:	25	WLAC> LTAC	
F/specific MZ Dilution =		Default Hardness=	25	LTA a,c>WQBL a	
F/specific HHnc Dilution		Default TSS=	10	LTA a,c>WQBL	
F/specific HHc Dilution=		99 Crit., 1=y, 0±n	1	LTA h> WQBL r	
				5177 × #QBD 1	·· ··

Appendix C-1
Air Products & Chemicals/New Orleans Facility
LA0003280/AI 2062

/+23	(*2)	(*3)	(*4)	(*5)	(+6)	(+7)	(*8)	(+9)	(*10)	(*11)
(*1) 		ffluent E			ffluent		Nume	rical Cri	iteria	нн
Toxic	Instream	/Tech	/Tech			estimate	Acute	Chronic	HHNDW C	arcinogen
Parameters	Conc.	(Avg)	(Max)		=95 %	Non-Tech	FW	FW	I	ndicator
	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L		ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	"C"
NONCONVENTIONAL	49,5	-3,-	- 3,	-						
Total Phenols (4AAP)				5			700	350	50	
3-Chlorophenol				10						
4-Chlorophenol				10			383	192		
2,3-Dichlorophenol				10						
2,5-Dichlorophenol				10						
2,6-Dichlorophenol				10						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				10						
3,4-Dichlorophenol										
2,4-Dichlorophenocy-										
acetic acid (2,4-D)										
2-(2,4,5-Trichlorophen-										
oxy) propionic acid										
(2,4,5-TP, Silvex)										
METALS AND CYANIDE										
Total Arsenic				10			583.5775	257.6122		
Total Cadmium		3.86	3.86	1	1		2835.976	34.93868		
Chromium III				10			25842	8382.879		
Chromium VI				10			15.712	10.582		
Total Copper				10			656.375	342.1122		
Total Lead		11.9	11.9	5	1		5299.154	206.5004		
Total Mercury				0.2			5.816729	0.040254		
Total Nickel				40			29334.66	3257.849		
Total Zinc		160	968	20	1	L	3644.534	3328.013		
Total Cyanide				20			45.9	5.2	12844	
DIOXIN									7.2E-007	С
2,3,7,8 TCDD; dioxin			,	1.0E-005						
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS										
Benzene				10			2249	1125	12.5	С
Bromoform				10			2930	1465	34.7	C
Bromodichloromethane				10					3.3	С
Carbon Tetrachloride				10			2730	1365	1.2	C
Chloroform				10			2890	1445	70	С
Dibromochloromethane				10					5.08	С
				10			11800	5900	6.8	С
1,2-Dichloroethane 1,1-Dichloroethylene				10			1160	580	0.58	C
1,1-Dichloropropylene				10			606	303	162.79	
Ethylbenzene				10			3200	1600	8100	
Methyl Chloride				50			55000	27500		
•				20			19300	9650	87	С
Methylene Chloride 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-										
,				10			932	466	1.8	С
ethane										

Appendix C-1 Air Products & Chemicals/New Orleans Facility LA0003280/AI 2062

	(*12)	(*13)	(*14)	(*15)	(*16)	(*17)	(*18)	(*19)	(*20)	{*21}	(*22) (* 23)
(*1) m	WLAa						Limiting	WQBL	WQBL	WQBL	WQBL	Need
Toxic	Acute			Acute			A,C,HH	Avg		Avg	MaxW	QBL?
Parameters	MCULE	CHIOMIC	mada	nedec	C.11.5.17.4			001	001	001	001	
	ug/L	, ug/L	. ug/I	. ug/L	ug/L	. ug/1	, ug/L	ug/L	, ug/L	lbs/day	lbs/day	
NONCONVENTIONAL	4972	, ug, z	5, -		- 3,	J .						
	703.8668	169 733A	52 76197	225.2374	195.7469	52.76197	52.76197	52.76197	125.5735	0.514841	1.225321	no
Total Phenols (4AAP)	703.0000											no
3-Chlorophenol	385.1157			123.237	107.3812		107.3812	140.6693	333.9554	1.372623	3.25867	no
4-Chlorophenol 2,3-Dichlorophenol	505.125.											no
2,5-Dichlorophenol												no
2,6-Dichlorophenol						-		•				по
3,4-Dichlorophenol												no
2,4-Dichlorophenocy-												
acetic acid (2,4-D)												no
2-{2,4,5-Trichlorophen-												
oxy) propionic acid												
(2,4,5-TP, Silvex)												no
,_,,,,,,												
METALS AND CYANIDE												
Total Arsenic	586.8011	271.8425		187.7764	144.0765				448.078			no
Total Cadmium	2851.641	36.86867		912.5253	19.54039				60.77062			no
Chromium III	25984.75	8845.943		8315.121	4688.35				14580.77			no
Chromium VI	15.79879	11.16654		5.055613	5.918267				15.72296			no
Total Copper	660,0008	361.0103		211.2003	191.3354				595.0532			no
Total Lead	5328.426	217.9074		1705.096	115.4909				359.1767			no
Total Mercury	5.84886	0.042478		1.871635					0.070016			סת
Total Nickel	29496.7	3437.B1		9438.944					5666.543			no no
Total Zinc				1172.693					3647.076			по
Total Cyanide	46.15355	5.487244	13553.49	14.76914	2.90824	13553.49	2.90824	3.809794	9.044625	0.037173	0.085230	110
DIOXIN			0.000001			0.000001	0 000001	0.000001	0.000003	1.1E-008	2.6E-00B	no
2,3,7,8 TCDD; dioxin			0.000001			0.0000	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS												
Benzene	2261.423	1187.144	19.40491	723.6555	629.1864	19.40491	19.40491	19.40491	46.1837	0.189349	0.450651	no
Bromoform									128.2059			ло
Bromodichloromethane			5.122897			5.122897	5.122897	5.122897	12,1925	0.049988	0.118972	no
Carbon Tetrachloride					763.4129	1.862872	1.062872	1.862872	4.433635	0.018178	0.043263	по
Chloroform	2905.964	1524.821	108.6675	929.9085	808.155	108.6675	108.6675	108.6675	258.6287	1.060356	2.523647	no
Dibromochloromethane			7.886157			7.886357	7.886157	7.886157	18.76905	0.076952	0.183145	no
1,2-Dichloroethane	11865.18	6225.912	10.55627	3796.858	3299.733	10.55627	10.55627	10.55627	25.12393	0.103006	0.245154	no
1,1-Dichloroethylene	1166.408	612.0388	0.900388	373,2505	324.3806	0.900388	0.900388	0.900388	2.142924	0.008786	0.02091	no
1,3-Dichloropropylene	609.3475	319.7375	171.7824	194.9912	169.4609	171.7824	169.4609	221.9938	527.0233	2.166171	5.142588	ло
Ethylbenzene	3217.677	1688.383	8547.438	1029.657	894.8429	8547.438	894.8429	1172.244	2782.962	11.43852	27.15558	no
Methyl Chloride	55303.82	29019.08		17697.22	15380.11		15380.11	20147.95	47832.15	196.5996	466.7366	no
Methylene Chloride				6210,116	5397.021	135.0582	135.0582	135.0582	321.4385	1.317871	3.136533	no
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-												
ethane	937.1483	491.7415	2.794308	299.8875	260.623	2.794308	2.794308	2.794308	6.650452	0.027266	0.064894	no

Appendix C-1 Air Products & Chemicals/New Orleans Facility LA0003280/AI 2062

(*1)	(+2)	(+3)	(+4)	(*5)	(*6	(*7)	(*B)	(+9)	(*10)	(*11)
Toxic		Effluent		MQLEF	luent	95th %	Nume	rical Cr	iteria	нн
Parameters	Instream	/Tech	/Tech	1 = 1	No 95%	estimate	Acute	Chronic	ннирм (Carcinogen
,	Conc.	(Avg)	(Max)	0 = 9	95 %	Non-Tech	FW	FW		Indicator
	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L		ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	"C"
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS (con	it 'd}									
Tetrachloroethylene				10			1290	645	2.5	С
Toluene				10			1270	635	46200	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane				10			5280	2640		
1,1,2-Trichloroethane				10			1800	900	6.9	С
Trichloroethylene				10			3900	1950	21	С
Vinyl Chloride				10					35.8	С
ACID COMPOUNDS										
2-Chlorophenol				10			258	129	126.4	
2,4-Dichlorophenol				10			202	101	232.6	
BASE NEUTRAL COMPOUNDS										_
Benzidine				50			250	125	0.00017	C
Hexachlorobenzene				10					0.00025	С
Hexachlorabutadiene				10			5.1	1.02	0.11	С
PESTICIDES										
Aldrin				0.05			3		0.0004	С
Hexachlorocyclohexane										
(gamma BHC, Lindane)				0.05		•	5.3	0.21	0.2	С
Chlordane				0.2			2.4	0.0043	0.00019	C
4 , 4 ' - DDT				0.1			1.1	0.001	0.00019	С
4 , 4 ' - DDE				0.1			52.5	10.5	0.00019	C -
4 , 4 ' - DDD				0.1			0.03	0.006	0.00027	C
Dieldrin				0.1			0.2374	0.0557	0.00005	С
Endosulfan				0.1			0.22	0.056	0.64	
Endrin				0.1			0.0864	0.0375	0.26	
Heptachlor				0.05			0.52	0.0038	0.00007	С
Toxaphene				5			0.73	0.0002	0.00024	c
Other Parameters:										
Fecal Col.(col/100ml)							_	_		
Chlorine							19	11		
Ammonia								4000		
Chlorides										
Sulfates										
TDS										

Goldbook Values:

Appendix C-1

Air Products & Chemicals/New Orleans Facility LA0003280/AI 2062

						(*17)	(+18)	(*19)	(*20)	(*21)	(+22)	(+221
(*1)	(*12)			(*15)							-	Need
Toxic	WLAa						Limiting		_	_		VOBL?
Parameters	Acute	Chronic	MUNDM	Acute	Chronic	ж динн :	A,C,HH	Avg 001	001	001	001	QBL:
						/1	, ug/L				lbs/day	
	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	, ug/I	. ug/L	, ug/1	, ug/1	, 49,2	103,009	122,007	
Tetrachloroethylene	1297.126	680.6294	3.880983	415.0803	360.7336	3.880983	3.880983	3.880983	9.236739	0.03787	0.09013	nο
Toluene	1277.015											no
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5309.166			1698.933						18.87357		no
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1809.943	949.7154	10.71151	579.1818	503.3492	10.71151	10.71151	10.71151	25.4934	0.104521	0.24876	no
Trichloroethylene	3921.543											no
Vinyl Chloride			55.57568							0.542296		no
72.1.72 3.1.20 ===												
ACID COMPOUNDS												
2-Chlorophenol	259.4252											no
2,4-Dichlorophenol	203.1158	106.5792	245.4487	64.99707	56.48696	245.4487	56.48696	73.99792	175.6744	0.722057	1.714196	no
BASE NEUTRAL COMPOUNDS												
Benzidîne	251.381	131.9049	0.000264	80.44191						0.000003		no
Hexachlorobenzene			0.000388							0.000004		no
Hexachlorabutadiene	5.128172	1.076344	0.170763	1.641015	0.570462	0.170763	0.170763	0.170763	0.406417	0.001666	0.003966	no
PESTICIDES						0.000671	0 000633	0 000631	0.001478	0.000006	0.000014	no
Aldrin	3.016572		0.000621	0.965303		0.000621	0.000021	0.000021	0.001470	0.00000		
Hexachlorocyclohexane				1 705760	0 117449	0 310479	0 117449	0 153857	n 365264	0.001501	0.003564	по
(gamma BHC, Lindane)	5.329277									0.000003		no
Chlordane	2.413257	0.004538	0.000295	0.772242	0.002403	0.000255	0.000295	0.000295	0.000702	0.000003	0.000007	no
4,4'-DDT	1.106076	0.001055	0.000233	16 8920	5 872407	0.000235	0.000295	0.000295	0.000702	0.000003	D.000007	no
4 , 4 ' - DDE	52.79001	0.000333	0.000233	n nn9653	0.003356	D 000419	0.000419	0.000419	0.000998	0.000004	0.00001	по
4,4'-DDD	0.030166	0.006331	0.000417	0.005033	0.003330	0.000078	0.000078	0.000078	0.000185	7.6E-007	0.000002	no
Dieldrin						0.675353					0.00095	no
Endosulfan										0.000268	0.000636	no
Endrin										0.000001		no
Heptachlor	0.522872	0.00401	0.000109	0.107319	0.002123	-,	•,					
m	0 734037	0.000211	0.000373	0.234R9	0.000112	0.000373	0.000112	0.000147	0.000348	0.000001	0.000003	no
Toxaphene	0.734032	0.000211	0.000313	0.25.07	0.000111	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Other Parameters:												
Fecal Col.(col/100ml)											- + -	no
Chlorine	19.10495	11.60763		6.113586	6.152045		6.113586	8.008797	19.01325	0.078148	0.185527	no
Ammonia	•	4220.957			2237.107		2237.107	2930.611	6957.404	28.59631	67.88896	по
Chlorides												no
Sulfates												ло
TDS												no
												no
•												no

Spreadsheet for Proposed Discharge Location –

Michoud Canal

Date:

02/02

Appendix C-1

Software: Lotus 4.0

Developer: Bruce Fielding Time: 11:29 AM

Revision date: 12/13/02

LA0112780 / AI 83613

Water Quality Screen for Air Products & Chemicals/New Orleans Facility

	water Quality	acteen for All froduces	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Input variables:		ma Burkana		Toxicity Dilution S	eries:
Receiving Water Characte	ristics:	Dilution:	0.1	Biomonitoring dilut	
		ZID Fs =	0.1	Dilution Series Fac	
Receiving Water Name=	Michoud Canal	Mg 72-	1	Dilucion delles ivo	
Critical flow (Qr) cfs=	29.4	MZ Fs =			Percent Effluent
Harm. mean/avg tidal cfs		Critical Qr (MGD)=19.		Dilution No. 1	7.734%
Drinking Water=1 HHNPCR=	2	Harm. Mean (MGD) = 57.		Dilution No. 2	5.80031
Marine, 1=y, 0=n			81092	Dilution No. 3	4.35031
Rec. Water Hardness=	1655		58003	Dilution No. 4	3.2627%
Rec. Water TSS=	4.43		58003	Dilution No. 5	2.4470%
Fisch/Specific=1,Stream=	0		20112	pilukion No. 5	2.33701
Diffuser Ratio≠			24036	Partition Coefficient	e. DiscolvedSTOTAl
			24036	Partition Coefficient	5, D13501VCd- > 210Cd1
Effluent Characteristics		M2hhnc Upstream= 16.			FW
Permittee=	Air Products & C	Chemicals/New Orleans Fa	cility	METALS	
Permit Number≖	LA0003280/AI 206				.717415
Facility flow (Qef),MGD=	1.17	M2hhc Upstream= 48.	72108		.296306
		ZID Hardness≠		47.1.2 = 1.1	.728958
Outfall Number =	001	MZ Hardness=		Chromium VI	1
Eff. data, 2=lbs/day		ZID TSS=		TOTAL APPEA	1.531434
MQL, 2=lbs/day		MZ TSS≖		10002	.770846
Effluent Hardness=	N/A	Multipliers:		,	.354515
Effluent TSS=	N/A	WLAa> LTAa	0.32		929287
WQBL ind. 0=y, 1≈n		WLAC> LTAC	0.53	Total Zinc	2.95358
Acute/Chr. ratio 0=n, 1=	у 0	LTA a,c-+>WQBL avg	1.31		
Aquatic,acute only1=y,0=	n	LTA a,c>WQBL max	3,11	Aquatic Life, Disso	
		LTA h> WQBL max	2.38	Metal Criteria, ug/	
Page Numbering/Labeling		WQBL-limit/report	2.13	METALS	ACUTE CHRONIC
Appendix	Appendix C-1	WLA Fraction	1	Arsenic	339.8 150
Page Numbers l≃y, 0=n	1	WQBL Fraction	1		660.0963 8.13226
Input Page # 1×y, 0=π	1				3464.629 1772.669
		Conversions:		Chromium VI	15.712 10.582
Fischer/Site Specific in	iputs:	ug/L>lbs/day Qef0.0	009758		259.2898 135.1456
Pipe=1,Canal=2,Specific=	-3	ug/L>lbs/day Qeo	0		1110.737 43.28382
Pipe width, feet		ug/L>lbs/day Or 0.3	245196	Mercury	1.734 0.012
ZID plume dist., feet		lbs/day>ug/L Qeol0:	2.4821		15204.92 1688.629
MZ plume dist., feet		lbs/day>ug/L Qef10:	2.4821	Zinc	1233.938 1126.773
HHnc plume dist., feet		diss>tot l=y0=n	1		
HHc plume dist., feet		Cu diss->totl=y0≈n	1	Site Specific Mult:	iplier Values:
		cfs>MGD	0.6463	CV =	w = *
Fischer/site specific d	ilutions:			N =	= = =
F/specific ZID Dilution	*	Receiving Stream:	•	WLAa> LTAa	
F/specific MZ Dilution		Default Hardness=	25	WLAc> LTAc	
F/specific HHnc Dilution	n=	Default TSS=	10	LTA a,c>WQBL avg	
F/specific HHc Dilution		99 Crit., 1=y, 0±n	1	LTA a,c>WQBL max	
				LTA h> WQBL max	- + -

Appendix C-1
Air Products & Chemicals/New Orleans Facility
LA0003280/AI 2062

(**)	(*2)	(*3)	(*4)	(*5)	(*6)	(*7)	(+8)	(+9)	(*10)	(*11)
(*1)		ffluent		MQLEff1	uent 95	5th *	Nume	rical Cri	teria	нн
Toxic		/Tech	/Tech	_		estimate	Acute	Chronic	ннир₩ С	arcinogen
Parameters	Instream	(Avg)	(Max)	0=95		on-Tech	FW	FW	1	ndicator
	Conc.	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L		ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	"C"
	ug/L	ug/b	09715	U-3/-2		 .				
NONCONVENTIONAL				5			700	350	50	
Total Phenols (4AAP)				10						
3-Chlorophenol				10			383	192		
4-Chlorophenol				10						
2,3-Dichlorophenol				10						
2,5-Dichlorophenol				10						
2,6-Dichlorophenol				10						
3,4-Dichlorophenol				10						
2,4-Dichlorophenocy-										
acetic acid (2,4-D)										
2-(2,4,5-Trichlorophen-										
oxy) propionic acid										
(2,4,5-TP, Silvex)										
METALS AND CYANIDE										
Total Arsenic				10			583.5775			
Total Cadmium		3.86	3.86	1	1		2835.976			
Chromium III		•		10				8382.879		
Chromium VI				10			15.712	10.582		
Total Copper				10				342.1122		
Total Lead		11.9	11.9	5	1		5299.154			
Total Mercury				0.2			5.816729			
Total Nickel				40			29334.66			
Total Zinc		160	968	20	1		3644.534			
Total Cyanide				20			45.9	5.2	12844	
DIOXIN										_
2,3,7.8 TCDD; dioxin				1.0E-005					7.2E-007	С
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS										
Benzene				10			2249	1125	12.5	C
Bromoform				10			2930	1465	34.7	С
Bromodichloromethane				10					3.3	C
Carbon Tetrachloride				10			2730	1365	1.2	. С
Chloroform				10			2890	1445	70	С
Dibromochloromethane				10					5.08	С
1,2-Dichloroethane				10			11800	5900	6.8	С
1,1-Dichloroethylene				10			1160	580	0.58	С
1,3-Dichloropropylene				10			606	303	162.79	
Ethylbenzene				10			3200	1600	8100	
-				50			55000	27500		
Methyl Chloride				20			19300	9650	87	С
Methylene Chloride 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-										
				10			932	466	1.8	С
ethane										

Appendix C-1 Air Products & Chemicals/New Orleans Facility LA0003280/AI 2062

(+1)	(*12)	(*13)	(*14)	(*15)	(*16)	(*17)	(*18)	(*19)	(*20)	(*21)	(*22)	(*23)
Toxic	WLAa	WLAC	WLAh	LTAa	LTAc	LTA	Limiting	WQBL	. WQBL	WOBI	, WQBL	Need
Parameters		Chronic	нниру	Acute	Chronic	HHNDW	A,C,HH	Avg	Мах	Avg	Maxi	NOBL?
rat ame cers								001	001	001	001	
	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	. ug/L	ug/L	. ug/L	ug/L	. ug/L	, աց/Ն	lbs/day	lbs/day	
NONCONVENTIONAL	-3, -	-3.	J ,	•	_							
Total Phenols (4AAP)	1836.825	6034.126	862.0179	587.784	3198.087	862.0179	587.784	769.9971	1828.008	7.513478	17.83734	по
3-Chlorophenol												no
4-Chlorophenol	1005.006	3310 149		321.6018	1754.379		321.6018	421.2984	1000.182	4.110946	9.759573	no
2,3-Dichlorophenol												no
2,5-Dichlorophenol												no
2,6-Dichlorophenol												no
3,4-Dichlorophenol												no
2,4-Dichlorophenocy-												
acetic acid (2,4-D)												no
2-{2,4,5-Trichlorophen-												
	_											
oxy) propionic acid (2,4,5-TP, Silvex)												по
(2,4,3-1P, 311VEX)												
METALS AND CYANIDE												
Total Arsenic	1531.328	4441.327		490.0251	2353.903		490.0251	641.9328	1523.978	6.263852	14.87067	no
Total Cadmium	7441.702			2381.345	319.2483		319.2483	418.2153	992.8623	4.080861	9.688152	no
Chromium III	67810.35			21699.31	76597.64		21699.31	28426.1	67484.86	277.3762	658.5037	no
Chromium VI	41.22885			13.19323	96.69186		13.19323	17.28313	41.03095	0.168645	0.400372	по
Total Copper	1722.352			551.1525	3126.013	-	551,1525	722.0098	1714.084	1.045227	16.72569	no
Total Lead	13905.17			4449.654	1886.875		1886.875	2471.806	5868.181	24.11939	57.26054	no
Total Mercury	15.26331			4.884258	0.367818		0.367818	0.481842	1.143914	0.004702	0.011162	no
Total Nickel	76975.19			24632.06	29768.24		24632.06	32268	76605.71	314.8647	747.5032	no
Total Zinc	9563.389			3060.285	30409.35		3060.285	4008.973	9517.485	39.11875	92.86972	no
Total Cyanide	120.4432	89.64987	221435.2	38.54184	47.51443	221435.2	38.54184	50.48981	119.8651	0.492669	1.16962	no
,												
DIOXIN												
2,3,7,8 TCDD; dioxin			0.000036			0.000036	0.000036	0.000036	0.000085	3.5E-007	8.3E-007	no
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS												
Benzene							621.5135					no
Bromoform	7688.425	25257.13	1725.321	2460.296			1725.321					no
Bromodichloromethane			164.0796				164.0796					no
Carbon Tetrachloride	7163.618	23533.09	59.66529	2292.358	12472.54	59.66529	59.66529	59.66529	142.0034	0.582202	1.385641	no
Chloroform	7583.464	24912.32	3480.475	2426.708	13203.53	3480.475	2426.70B	3178.988	7547.063	31.01993	73.64273	no
Dibromochloromethane			252.5831				252.5831					no
1,2-Dichloroethane	30963.62	101718.1	338.1033	9908.36	53910.6	338.1033	338.1033	338.1033	804.6859	3.299145	7.851964	по
1,1-Dichloroethylene	3043.882	9999.408	28.83822	974.0421	5299.686	28.83822	28.03822	28.83822	68.63497	0.281398	0.669726	no
1,3-Dichloropropylene	1590.166	5223.829	2806.558	508.853	2768.629	2806.558	508.853	666.5975	1582.533	6.504525	15.44204	no
Ethylbenzene	B396.915	27584.57	139646.9	2687.013	14619.82	139646.9	2687.013	3519.987	8356.61	34.34733	81.54213	no
Methyl Chloride	144322	474109.9		46183.03			46183.03					no
Methylene Chloride	50643.89	166369.5	4325.734	16206.05	88175.B2	4325.734	4325.734	4325.734	10295.25	42.20964	100.459	no
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-												
ethane	2445.601	8034.007	89.49794	782.5925	4258.024	89.49794	89.49794	89.49794	213.0051	O.B73303	2.078461	no

Appendix C-1 Air Products & Chemicals/New Orleans Facility LA0003280/AI 2062

(*1)	(*2)	(*3)	(*4)	(*5)	(*6		(*8)	(*9)	(*10)	(*11) нн
Toxic	CuE	ffluenc E				95th %		rical Cri		nn Carcinogen
Parameters	Instream	/Tech	/Tech			estimate	Acute	Chronic FW		Indicator
	Conc.	(Avg)	(Max)	0=9	5 %	Non-Tech	FW		uq/L	"C"
	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L		ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/ L	C
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS (cont	: 'd}									
Tetrachloroethylene				10			1290	645	2.5	С
Toluene				10			1270	635	46200	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane				10			5280	2640		
1.1.2-Trichloroethane				10			1800	900	6.9	С
Trichloroethylene				10			3900	1950	21	c
Vinyl Chloride				10					35.8	С
ACID COMPOUNDS										
2-Chlorophenol				10			258	129	126.4	•
2,4-Dichlorophenol				10			202	101	232.6	
BASE NEUTRAL COMPOUNDS										С
Benzidine				50			250	125	0.00017	=
Hexachlorobenzene				10					0.00025	c
Hexachlorabutadiene				10			5.1	1.02	0,11	C
PESTICIDES				0.05			3		0.0004	С
Aldrin				0.05						
Hexachlorocyclohexane				0.05			5.3	0.21	0.2	С
(gamma BHC, Lindane)				0.03			2.4	0.0043	0.00019	С
Chlordane				0.1			1.1	0.001	0.00019	С
4 , 4 ' - DDT				0.1			52.5	10.5	0.00019	С
4 , 4 ' - DDE				0.1			0.03	0.006	0.00027	С
4 , 4 ' - DDD				0.1			0.2374	0.0557	0.00005	С
Dieldrin				0.1		•	0.22	0.056	0.64	
Endosulfan							0.0864	0.0375	0.26	
Endrin				0.1 0.05			0.52		0.00007	С
Heptachlor				0.05				-		
Toxaphene				5			0.73	0.0002	0.00024	С
Other Parameters:	·									

Fecal Col.(col/100ml)

Chlorine

Ammonia

Chlorides

Sulfates

TDS

Goldbook Values:

19 11 4000

Page 5 Air Products & Chemicals/New Orleans Facility LA0003280/AI 2062

(*1)	(*12)	(*13)	(*14)	(*15)	(*16)	(*17)	(*18)	(*19)	{*20}	(*21)	(*22) (*23)
Toxic	WLAa	WLAc	WLA	LTAa	LTAC	LTA	Limiting	WQBI	. WQBL	WQBL	WQBL	Need
Parameters	Acute	Chronic	нниом	Acute	Chronic	HHNDW	А,С,НН	Avg	, Max	Avg	Maxw	QBL?
								001	001	001	001	
	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	. ug/L	. ug/1	. ug/L	. ug/1	. ug/1	ug/L	lbs/day	lbs/day	
Tetrachloroethylene	3385.006											по
Toluene	3332.526	10947.63	796504.6	1066.408	5802.243							no
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	13854.91			4433.571					13788.41			no
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	4723.265											no
Trichloroethylene	10233.74	33618.7	1044.143	3274.797					2485.059			no
Vinyl Chloride			1780.015			1780.015	1780.015	1780.015	4236.435	17.36903	41.33828	no
ACID COMPOUNDS	677.0013			226 6404	1120 222	2170 101	216 6404	707 7099	672 2517	7 769753	5 574314	no
2-Chlorophenol												סת
2,4-Dichlorophenol	530.0553	1741.276	4010.107	169.6177	922.8764	4010.107	169.61//	222.1332	527.511	2.1001/3	3.14/34/	110
BASE NEUTRAL COMPOUNDS												
Benzidine	656 009	2155 045	0 008453	209.9229	1142.174	0.008453	0.008453	0.008453	0.020117	0.000082	0.000196	no
Hexachlorobenzene	030.003		0.01243						0.029584			no
Hexachlorabutadiene	13.38258			4.282427	9.320138	5.469318	4.282427	5.609979	13.31835	0.054741	0.129958	no
nexactiful abdedutene	15.50250		•,									
PESTICIDES												
Aldrin	7.872108		0.019888	2.519074		0.019888	0.019888	0.019888	0.047334	0.000194	0.000462	по
Hexachlorocyclohexane												
(gamma BHC, Lindane)	13.90739.											no
Chlordane	6.297686											no
4 , 4 ' - DDT	2.886439											no
4 , 4 ' - DDE	137.7619											no
4 , 4 ' - DDD	0.078721											no
Dieldrin	0.622946											no
Endosulfan									0.574517			по
Endrin	0.226717											no
Heptachlor	1.364499	0.065513	0.00348	0.43664	0.034722	0.00348	0.00348	0.00348	0.008284	0.000034	0.000081	no
									0.005583		0.00055	סת
Toxaphene	1.915546	0.003448	0.011933	0.612975	0.001827	0.011933	0.001827	0.002394	0.005683	0.000023	0.000033	110
Other Parameters:												
Fecal Col.(col/100ml)												по
Chlorine	49.85668	189.6439		15.95414	100.5113		15.95414	20.89992	49.61737	0.203937	0.484156	no
Ammonia		68961.44			36549.56				113669.1			no
Chlorides												по
Sulfates												no
TDS										- 		no
												no
												no

APPENDIX C-2 LA0003280 / AI 2062

Documentation and Explanation of Water Quality Screen and Associated Lotus Spreadsheet

Each reference column is marked by a set of parentheses enclosing a number and asterisk, for example (*1) or (*19). These columns represent inputs, existing data sets, calculation points, and results for determining Water Quality Based Limits for an effluent of concern. The following represents a summary of information used in calculating the water quality screen:

Receiving Water Characteristics:

Receiving Water: Existing - Roadside ditch thence to Maxent Canal thence to

Intracoastal Waterway
Proposed - Michoud Canal

Critical Flow, Qrc (cfs): Existing - 0.1, Proposed - 29.4 Harmonic Mean Flow, Qrh (cfs): Existing - 1.0, Proposed - 88.2

Segment No.: 041601

Receiving Stream Hardness (mg/L): 1,655

Receiving Stream TSS (mg/L): 4.43

MZ Stream Factor, Fs: 1.0 Plume distance, Pf: N/A

Effluent Characteristics:

Company: Air Products & Chemicals, Inc./New Orleans Facility

Facility flow, Qe (MGD): 1.17 Effluent Hardness (mg/L): N/A Effluent TSS (mg/L): N/A Pipe/canal width, Pw: N/A Permit Number: LA0003280

Variable Definition:

Qrc, critical flow of receiving stream, cfs

Orh, harmonic mean flow of the receiving stream, cfs

Pf = Allowable plume distance in feet, specified in LAC 33.IX.1115.D

Pw = Pipe width or canal width in feet

Qe, total facility flow, MGD

Fs, stream factor from LAC.IX.33.11 (1 for harmonic mean flow)

Cu, ambient concentration, ug/L

Cr, numerical criteria from LAC.IX.1113, Table 1

WLA, wasteload allocation

LTA, long term average calculations

WQBL, effluent water quality based limit

ZID, Zone of Initial Dilution in % effluent

MZ, Mixing Zone in % effluent

Formulas used in aquatic life water quality screen (dilution type WLA):

Streams:

Dilution Factor = $\frac{Qe}{(Qrc \times 0.6463 \times Fs + Qe)}$

WLA a,c,h =
$$\frac{Cr}{Dilution Factor}$$
 - $\frac{(Fs \times Qrc \times 0.6463 \times Cu)}{Qe}$

Static water bodies (in the absence of a site specific dilution):

Discharge from a pipe:

Discharge from a canal:

Critical Dilution =
$$(2.8)$$
 Pw $\pi^{1/2}$ Pf

WLA =
$$\frac{(Cr-Cu) Pf}{(2.8) Pw \pi^{1/2}}$$

WLA =
$$\frac{(Cr-Cu) Pf^{1/2}}{2.38 Pw^{1/2}}$$

Formulas used in human health water quality screen, human health non-carcinogens (dilution type WLA):

Streams:

Dilution Factor =
$$\frac{Qe}{(Qrc \times 0.6463 + Qe)}$$

WLA a,c,h =
$$\frac{Cr}{Dilution \ Factor}$$
 - $\frac{(Qrc \times 0.6463 \times Cu)}{Qe}$

Formulas used in human health water quality screen, human health carcinogens (dilution type WLA):

Dilution Factor =
$$\frac{Qe}{(Qrh \times 0.6463 + Qe)}$$

WLA a,c,h =
$$\frac{Cr}{Dilution Factor}$$
 - $\frac{(Orh \times 0.6463 \times Cu)}{Qe}$

Static water bodies in the absence of a site specific dilution (human health carcinogens and human health non-carcinogens):

Discharge from a pipe:

Discharge from a canal:

Critical
Dilution =
$$(2.8)$$
 Pw $\pi^{1/2}$
Pf

Critical
Dilution =
$$(2.38) (Pw^{1/2})$$
 $(Pf)^{1/2}$

WLA =
$$\frac{(Cr-Cu) Pf^{*}}{(2.8) Pw \pi^{1/2}}$$
 WLA = $\frac{(Cr-Cu) Pf^{1/2}*}{2.38 Pw^{1/2}}$

* Pf is set equal to the mixing zone distance specified in LAC 33:IX.1115 for the static water body type, i.e., lake, estuary, Gulf of Mexico, etc.

Appendix C-2 Page 3

If a site specific dilution is used, WLA are calculated by subtracting Cu from Cr and dividing by the site specific dilution for human health and aquatic life criteria.

WLA = (Cr-Cu)
site specific dilution

Longterm Average Calculations:

LTAa = WLAa X 0.32

LTAc = WLAc X 0.53

LTAh = WLAh

WOBL Calculations:

Select most limiting LTA to calculate daily max and daily avg WQBL

If aquatic life LTA is more limiting:
Daily Maximum = Min(LTAa, LTAc) X 3.11
Daily Average = Min(LTAc, LTAc) X 1.31

If human health LTA is more limiting:

Daily Maximum = LTAh X 2.38

Daily Average = LTAh

Mass Balance Formulas:

mass (lbs/day): $(ug/L) \times 1/1000 \times (flow, MGD) \times 8.34 = lbs/day$

concentration(ug/L): $\frac{lbs/day}{(flow, MGD) X 8.34 X 1/1000} = ug/L$

The following is an explanation of the references in the spreadsheet.

- (*1) Parameter being screened.
- (*2) Instream concentration for the parameter being screened in ug/L. In the absence of accurate supporting data, the instream concentration is assumed to be zero (0).
- (*3) Daily average effluent value in concentration units of ug/L or mass units of lbs/day. Units determined on a case-by-case basis as appropriate to the particular situation.
- (*4) Daily maximum value in concentration units of ug/L or mass units of lbs/day. Units determined on a case-by-case basis as appropriate to the particular situation.
- (*5) Minimum analytical Quantification Levels (MQL's). Established in a letter dated January 27, 1994 from Wren Stenger of EPA Region 6 to Kilren Vidrine of LDEQ and from the "Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards". The applicant must test for the parameter at a level at least as sensitive as the specified MQL. If this is not done, the MQL becomes the application value for screening purposes if the pollutant is suspected to be present on-site and/or in the waste stream. Units are in ug/l or lbs/day depending on the units of the effluent data.

- States whether effluent data is based on 95th percentile estimation. A "1" indicates that a 95th percentile approximation is being used, a "0" indicates that no 95th percentile approximation is being used.
- 95th percentile approximation multiplier (2.13). The constant, 2.13, (*7) was established in memorandum of understanding dated October 8, 1991 from Jack Ferguson of Region 6 to Jesse Chang of LDEQ and included in the "Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards". This value is screened against effluent Water Quality Based Limits established in columns (*18) - (*21). Units are in ug/l or lbs/day depending on the units of the measured effluent data.
- LAC 33.IX.1113.C.6, Table 1, Numerical Criteria for Specific Toxic Substances, freshwater (FW) or marine water (MW) (whichever is applicable) aquatic life protection, acute criteria. Units are specified. Some metals are hardness dependent. The hardness of the receiving stream shall generally be used, however a flow weighted hardness may be determined in site-specific situations using the following formula: (Effluent Hardness X ZID Dilution + Receiving Stream Hardness X (1-ZID Dilution)). Dissolved metals are converted to Total metals using partition coefficients in accordance with the "Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards". Similar to hardness, the TSS of the receiving stream shall generally be used, however, a flow weighted TSS may be determined in site-specific situations using the following formula: (Effluent TSS X ZID Dilution + Receiving Stream TSS X (1-ZID Dilution)). Hardness Dependent Criteria:

Formula <u>Metal</u>

```
e (1.1280[ln(hardness)] - 1.6774)
Cadmium
                           e (0.8190[ln(hardness)] + 3.6880)
Chromium III
                          e<sup>(0.9422[ln(hardness)] - 1.3884)</sup>
Copper
                           e (1.2730 [ln(hardness)] - 1.4600)
Lead
                          e (0.8460[ln(hardness)] + 3.3612)
Nickel
                          e<sup>(0.8473[ln(hardness)]</sup> + 0.8604)
Zinc
```

Dissolved to Total Metal Multipliers for Freshwater Streams (TSS dependent):

<u>Metal</u>	Multiplier	
Arsenic Cadmium	1 + 0.48 X TSS ^{-0.73} 1 + 4.00 X TSS ^{-1.13}	X TSS X TSS
Chromium III	1 + 3.36 X TSS ^{-0.93}	X TSS
Copper	1 + 1.04 X TSS ^{-0.74}	X TSS
Lead	1 + 2.80 X TSS ^{-0.80}	X TSS
Mercury		X TSS
Nickel	$1 + 0.49 \text{ X TSS}^{-0.57}$	X TSS
Zinc	1 + 1.25 X TSS ^{-0.70}	X TSS

Dissolved to Total Metal Multipliers for Marine Environments (TSS dependent):

....

<u>metal</u>	Mulcipiler
Copper Lead	$1 + (10^{4.86} \text{ X TSS}^{-0.72} \text{ X TSS}) \text{ X } 10^{-6}$ $1 + (10^{6.06} \text{ X TSS}^{-0.85} \text{ X TSS}) \text{ X } 10^{-6}$
Zinc	$1 + (10^{5.36} \text{ X TSS}^{-0.52} \text{ X TSS}) \text{ X } 10^{-6}$

Multiplian

If a metal does not have multiplier listed above, then the dissolved to total metal multiplier shall be 1.

(*9) LAC 33.IX.1113.C.6, Table 1, Numerical Criteria for Specific Toxic
Substances, freshwater (FW) or marine water (MW) (whichever is
applicable) aquatic life protection, chronic criteria. Units are
specified. Some metals are hardness dependent. The hardness of the
receiving stream shall generally be used, however a flow weighted
hardness may be determined in site-specific situations using the
following formula: (Effluent Hardness X MZ Dilution + Receiving Stream
Hardness X (1-MZ Dilution)). Dissolved metals are converted to Total
metals using partition coefficients in accordance with the "Permitting
Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality
Standards". Similar to hardness, the TSS of the receiving stream shall
generally be used, however, a flow weighted TSS may be determined in
site-specific situations using the following formula: (Effluent TSS X
MZ Dilution + Receiving Stream TSS X (1-MZ Dilution)).

Metal Formula

Cadmium	e (0.7852[ln(hardness)] - 3.4900)
Chromium III	(0.8473[ln(hardness)] + 0.7614)
Copper	(0.8545[ln(hardness)] - 1.3860)
Lead	e (0.7852 {ln(hardness)] - 3.4900) e (0.8473 [ln(hardness)] + 0.7614) e (0.8545 [ln(hardness)] - 1.3860) e (1.2730 [ln(hardness)] - 4.7050) e (0.8460 [ln(hardness)] + 1.1645) e (0.8473 [ln(hardness)] + 0.7614)
	(0.8460[ln(hardness)] + 1.1645)
Nickel	(0.8473[ln(hardness)] + 0.7614)
Zinc	e

Dissolved to total metal multiplier formulas are the same as (*8), acute numerical criteria for aquatic life protection.

- (*10) LAC 33.IX.1113.C.6, Table 1, Numerical Criteria for Specific Toxic Substances, human health protection, drinking water supply (HHDW), non-drinking water supply criteria (HHNDW), or human health non-primarry contact recreation (HHNPCR) (whichever is applicable). A DEQ and EPA approved Use Attainability Analysis is required before HHNPCR is used, e.g., Monte Sano Bayou. Units are specified.
- (*11) C if screened and carcinogenic. If a parameter is being screened and is carcinogenic a "C" will appear in this column.
- (*12) Wasteload Allocation for acute aquatic criteria (WLAa). Dilution type WLAa is calculated in accordance with the "Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards". Negative values indicate that the receiving water is not meeting the acute aquatic numerical criteria for that parameter. Units are in ug/L. Dilution WLAa formulas for streams:

WLAa = (Cr/Dilution Factor) - (Fs x Orc x 0.6463 x Cu)

Dilution WLAa formulas for static water bodies:

WLAa = (Cr-Cu)/Dilution Factor)

Cr represents aquatic acute numerical criteria from column (*8).

If Cu data is unavailable or inadequate, assume Cu=0

(*13) Wasteload Allocation for chronic aquatic criteria (WLAc). Dilution type WLAc is calculated in accordance with the "Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards". Negative values indicate that the receiving water is not meeting the chronic aquatic numerical criteria for that parameter. Units are in ug/L. Dilution WLAc formula:

WLAc = (Cr/Dilution Factor) - (Fs x Orc x 0.6463 x Cu)

0e

Dilution WLAc formulas for static water bodies:

WLAc = (Cr-Cu)/Dilution Factor)

Cr represents aquatic chronic numerical criteria from column (*9).

If Cu data is unavailable or inadequate, assume Cu=0

(*14) Wasteload Allocation for human health criteria (WLAh). Dilution type WLAh is calculated in accordance with the "Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards". Negative values indicate that the receiving water is not meeting the human health numerical criteria for that parameter. Units are in ug/L. Dilution WLAh formula:

WLAh = (Cr/Dilution Factor) - (Fs x Qrc, Qrh x 0.6463 x Cu)

Qe

Dilution WLAh formulas for static water bodies:

WLAh = (Cr-Cu)/Dilution Factor)

Cr represents human health numerical criteria from column (*10).

If Cu data is unavailable or inadequate, assume Cu=0

- (*15) Long Term Average for aquatic numerical criteria (LTAa). WLAa numbers are multiplied by a multiplier specified in the "Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards" which is 0.32. WLAa X 0.32 = LTAa
- (*16) Long Term Average for chronic numerical criteria (LTAc). WLAc numbers are multiplied by a multiplier specified in the "Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards" which is 0.53. WLAC X 0.53 = LTAc
- (*17) Long Term Average for human health numerical criteria (LTAh). WLAh numbers are multiplied by a multiplier specified in the "Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards" which is 1. WLAc X 1 = LTAh
- (*18) Limiting Acute, Chronic or Human Health LTA's. The most limiting LTA is placed in this column. Units are consistent with the WLA calculation.
- (*19) End of pipe Water Quality Based Limit (WQBL) maximum 30-day daily average in terms of concentration, ug/L. If aquatic life criteria was the most limiting LTA then the limiting LTA is multiplied by 1.31 to determine the average WQBL (LTA_{limiting aquatic} X 1.31 = WQBL_{daily average}). If human health criteria was the most limiting criteria then LTAh = WQBL_{daily average}.
- (*20) End of pipe Water Quality Based Limit (WQBL) 30-day daily maximum in terms of concentration, ug/L. If aquatic life criteria was the most limiting LTA then the limiting LTA is multiplied by 3.11 to determine

- the daily maximum WQBL (LTA $_{limiting\ aquatic}$ X 3.11 = WQBL $_{daily\ max}$). If human health criteria was the most limiting criteria then LTAh is multiplied by 2.38 to determine the daily maximum WQBL (LTA $_{limiting\ aquatic}$ X 2.38 = WQBL $_{daily\ max}$).
- (*21) End of pipe Water Quality Based Limit (WQBL) maximum 30-day daily average in terms of mass, lbs/day. The mass limit is determined by using the mass balance equations above. Daily average WQBL, ug/l/1000 X facility flow, MGD X 8.34 = daily average WQBL, lbs/day.
- (*22) End of pipe Water Quality Based Limit (WQBL) 30 day daily maximum in terms of mass, lbs/day. Mass limit is determined by using the mass balance equations above. Daily maximum WQBL, ug/l/1000 X facility flow, MGD X 8.34 = daily maximum WQBL, lbs/day.
- (*23) Indicates whether the screened effluent value(s) need water quality based limits for the parameter of concern. A "yes" indicates that a water quality based limit is needed in the permit; a "no" indicates the reverse.